CITY OF VANCOUVER

REGULAR COUNCIL MEETING

A Regular meeting of the Council of the City of Vancouver was held on Tuesday, November 16, 1971, in the Council Chamber, at approximately 9:30 a.m.

PRESENT: His Worship the Deputy Mayor (Alderman Bird),

Aldermen Adams, Broome, Hardwick, Linnell,

Phillips, Rankin, Sweeney and Wilson

ABSENT: His Worship the Mayor (illness)

Alderman Calder (illness).

CLERK TO THE COUNCIL: R. Thompson.

PRAYER The proceedings in the Council Chamber were opened with prayer.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT His Worship the Deputy Mayor acknowledged the presence in the Council Chamber of students from Windermere School, under the direction of Mr. Achtemichuk.

'IN CAMERA' MEETING

F-182

1

The Council agreed to an 'In Camera" meeting later this day.

ADOPTION OF MINUTES

MOVED by Alderman Broome,

SECONDED by Alderman Sweeney,

THAT the Minutes of the Special Council meeting (Court of Revision), dated November 4, 1971, be adopted.

- CARRIED.

MOVED by Alderman Sweeney,

SECONDED by Alderman Broome,

THAT the Minutes of the Regular Council meeting (including 'In Camera'), dated November 9, 1971, be adopted.

- CARRIED.

COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE

MOVED by Alderman Broome,

SECONDED by Alderman Sweeney,

THAT this Council resolve itself into Committee of the Whole, His Worship the Deputy Mayor in the Chair.

- CARRIED.

UNFINISHED BUSINESS

1. Museums Department: Reorganization

On November 2, 1971, the Council tabled, for two weeks, the report of the Board of Administration of October 29, 1971, regarding reorganization of the Museums Department. This was for the purpose of allowing the interested Bodies an opportunity to study the report.

A request has been received from Mr. R. C. Ross, Business Manager of The Municipal and Regional Employees' Union, for a deferment to allow for further study. It was noted that the Vancouver Museums and Planetarium Association, as well as the Community Arts Council of Vancouver, wish to appear before Council when the matter is under discussion again.

It was agreed that the whole matter be deferred, pursuant to the Union's request.

DELEGATION MATTERS:

It was agreed to defer the following matters pending the hearing of delegations later this day:

- (a) Kitsilano Boys' Band: Grant in Lieu of Rental of Queen Elizabeth Theatre;
- (b) Social Allowances Christmas Bonus.

COMMUNICATIONS OR PETITIONS

1. B.C. Women's Abortion Law Repeal Coalition

The Council noted a request from the B.C. Women's Abortion Law Repeal Coalition to appear before Council in connection with an application to hold a march and rally on Saturday, November 20, 1971.

It was noted that the Board of Administration submitted a recommendation in Clause 2 of its report of November 12, 1971, on Fire and Traffic Matters.

MOVED by Alderman Adams,

THAT Clause 2 of the report of the Board of Administration dated November 12, 1971 (Fire and Traffic Matters) be adopted and, therefore, the organization be advised there is no necessity for representations to be made to Council on the matter.

- CARRIED.

2. International Joint Commission

The Council noted the Notice of Initial Public Hearing of the International Joint Commission, to be held at The Breakers, Point Roberts, Washington, November 30, 1971, at 10:00 a.m.

MOVED by Alderman Broome,

THAT this information be received.

BOARD OF ADMINISTRATION AND OTHER REPORTS

A. General Report, November 12, 1971

Works and Utility Matters

Clause (Resended: See Page 3, Council meeting Feb. 1/12

MOVED by Alderman Adams,

THAT the report of the Board of Administration (Works and Utility Matters), dated November 12, 1971, be adopted.

- CARRIED.

Building and Planning Matters

Uniform Building Code for the Regional District (Clause 1)

The Board of Administration advised, on November 12, 1971, that the Greater Vancouver Regional District has agreed to apply for Supplementary Letters Patent, which would give the District authority to pass a Uniform Building Code. To make such application, the consent of two-thirds of the Municipal Councils is required and, therefore, the inquiry is made whether the Vancouver City Council concurs with the proposal.

A model by-law, incorporating the National Building Code, has been prepared by the Technical Sub-Committee, a copy of which is on file with the City Clerk. The proposed application for Supplementary Letters Patent would incorporate this model as the Uniform Building Code for the District.

Certain administrative features peculiar to Vancouver are set out by the Building Inspector in the Board of Administration report. The question is raised, therefore, as to whether, instead of agreeing to one by-law for the whole District, Vancouver would prefer to pass its own Building By-Law, which would vary from the Regional By-Law only in respect of the Administrative Section.

MOVED by Alderman Rankin,

THAT the Council approve the proposed Regional By-Law, which will incorporate the National Building Code.

FURTHER, that the Corporation Counsel be instructed to prepare an Administrative By-Law applicable to the City of Vancouver.

- CARRIED.

In considering the foregoing matter, it was agreed that the Board of Administration would arrange, at a later date, a Report Reference to Council on the proposed Regional District By-Law to contain the National Building Code.

BOARD OF ADMINISTRATION AND OTHER REPORTS (cont'd)

Building and Planning Matters (cont'd)

Housing for Senior Citizens (Clause 3)

The Board of Administration, under date of November 12, 1971, submitted a report of the Director of Planning and Civic Development on Housing for Senior Citizens, pursuant to Council's resolution of October 5, 1971. Details are set out in regard to the following:

- 1. Demand for Senior Citizens' Housing;
- 2. Provincial Government Job Opportunities Programme;
- 3. Plans for the Development of Senior Citizens' Housing;
- 4. Utilization of \$1,000,000 Revolving Fund.

After due consideration, it was

MOVED by Alderman Rankin,

THAT the Provincial Government be requested to advance funds out of its 1972 budget, for Senior Citizens' Housing projects under the Elderly Citizens' Housing Aid Act.

- CARRIED.

MOVED by Alderman Wilson,

THAT, since reference is not made in the report of the Board of Administration, dated November 12, 1971, on this subject, of the negotiations of Branch #177 of the Royal Canadian Legion to acquire City property at Scotia and Sixth Avenue for a senior citizens' housing project, the matter be referred to the Director of Planning and Civic Development to look into.

- CARRIED.

His Worship the Deputy Mayor referred to a Kitsilano project for senior citizens, and instructed the Board of Administration to report to the next meeting on the status of the matter.

Strathcona Rehabilitation Project - Staff (Clause 4)

In the Board of Administration report, dated November 12, 1971, on this subject, it is stated that the Assistant Information Officer should have a degree, preferably in the Social Sciences. The Council instructed that this reference be deleted.

MOVED by Alderman Linnell,

That Clause 4 of the report of the Board of Administration, dated November 12, 1971, (Building and Planning Matters), be adopted, after the deletion, as instructed.

- CARRIED.

BOARD OF ADMINISTRATION AND OTHER REPORTS (cont'd)

Building and Planning Matters (cont'd)

Low Cost Condomium Housing (Clause 2)

MOVED by Alderman Phillips,

THAT, in respect of the report of the Board of Administration (Building and Planning Matters), dated November 12, 1971, Clause 2 be received for information.

- CARRIED.

Licenses and Claims Matters

Claim No. 11570; Accident - November 20, 1970, Brock C. Smither and Paul Ronald Tanswell (Clause 1)

MOVED by Alderman Linnell,

THAT in the report of the Board of Administration (Licenses and Claims Matters), dated November 12, 1971, Clause 1 be adopted.

- CARRIED.

Licensing Regulations - Door to Door Salesmen (Clause 2)

In connection with this Clause, it was noted that a delegation request had been received.

MOVED by Alderman Phillips,

THAT consideration of Clause 2, of the report of the Board of Administration, dated November 12, 1971, (Licenses and Claims Matters), be referred to the Standing Committee on General Purposes, in order to hear the delegation from the Better Business Bureau, as per the request received, and to hear any other delegations wishing to make a submission on the subject.

- CARRIED.

Fire and Traffic Matters

MOVED by Alderman Linnell,

THAT Clauses 1 and 3 of the Board of Administration report, dated November 12, 1971 (Fire and Traffic Matters) be adopted.

- CARRIED.

(Clause 2, re Request for Parade: B.C. Women's Abortion Law Repeal Coalition, was dealt with on Page 2, under "Communications or Petitions.")

Regular Council, November 16, 1971. 6

BOARD OF ADMINISTRATION AND OTHER REPORTS (cont'd)

Finance Matters

MOVED by Alderman Adams,

THAT Clauses 1 and 3 of the report of the Board of Administration, dated November 12, 1971, (Finance Matters) be adopted.

- CARRIED.

Photographic Equipment - Crowd Control (Police) (Clause 2)

In considering this Clause, and a particular request from the Police Department respecting funds for the purchase of photographic equipment re crowd control, it was

MOVED by Alderman Adams,

THAT the Board of Police Commissioners be advised, concerning their request for funds for this equipment, that this item should be placed in their 1972 Estimates for Council's consideration at that time.

- CARRIED.

B. Personnel Matters,
Supplementary, November 12, 1971

MOVED by Alderman Broome,

THAT the report of the Board of Administration (Personnel Matters, Supplementary), dated November 12, 1971, be adopted.

- CARRIED.

C. Property Matters, November 12, 1971

MOVED by Alderman Broome,

THAT the report of the Board of Administration (Property Matters), dated November 12, 1971, be adopted.

- CARRIED.

Regular Council, November 16, 1971

BOARD OF ADMINISTRATION AND OTHER REPORTS (cont'd)

Closing and Leasing Portion of Lane D. South of 8th Avenue, West of Alder Street (Lawson Oates)

The Board of Administration, under date of November 12, 1971, submitted the following report:

The City Engineer reports as follows:

"Lawson Oates dedicated 10 feet from Lots 8 and 13, Block 333, D.L. 526 for lane purposes at no cost to the City. Council approved leasing the area back for a period of 10 years at a rental of \$1.00 per year. This lease will expire in 1974. In 1969 Lawson Oates dedicated the south 10 feet of Lot 7 again at no cost to the City. They also leased this area back for a period to terminate coincident with the prior lease. The rental for the term of the new lease was \$10.00.

Lawson Oates now leases Lots 9 and 10 until 1986 and request a least of the 10 foot lane at the rear of these lots for the same period.

I RECOMMEND that the 10 foot lane at the rear of Lots 9 and 10, Block 333, D.L. 526 be closed, stopped up, and leased to Lawson Oates subject to the following conditions:

- 1. The lease to expire the same date as the previous leases - 1974.
- plus all taxes The rental to be \$640.00 per annum/in accordance with the recommendation of the Supervisor of Property and Insurance.
- A right of renewal be granted of the three leases for a further period of 12 years (1986) at a rental to be determined by the City.
- 4. Any renewal after 1974 be subject to a one year notice of cancellation if the area is required for municipal purposes.
- 5. An agreement satisfactory to the Corporation Counsel and City Engineer."

Your Board RECOMMENDS the above report of the City Engineer be adopted.

MOVED by Alderman Broome

THAT the above report of the Board of Administration, dated November 12, 1971, be adopted.

- CARRIED.

BOARD OF ADMINISTRATION AND OTHER REPORTS (cont'd)

E. Recreation Needs and Public Housing (November 5, 1971)

The Board of Administration, under date of November 5, 1971, submitted the following report:

Summary: This report outlines a proposed three (3) part analysis of recreation needs in 14 public housing developments in the City of Vancouver which is to be undertaken in co-operation with the Board of Parks and Public Recreation and the B.C. Housing Management Commission. The purpose of the analysis is to determine the most effective recreation services available in existing public housing developments and requirements for the future.

Your Board submits the following report of the Director of Social Planning/Community Development prepared in co-operation with the Board of Parks and Public Recreation and the B.C. Housing Management Commission.

"The matter of recreation needs and public housing pertains to all age groups residing in these facilities. Residents of public housing include concentrations of:

- old age pensioners;
- 2. single parent families, a portion of whom have seriously disrupted family lives providing inadequate family environments for children;
- 3. large numbers of children with generally inadequate play space and recreational facilities.

Recreation needs and public housing are most commonly identified with public concerns about groups of youths who reside in and congregate in and around public housing. The behaviour of these youths - solvent sniffing, vandalism, property damage, noise, their visibility and cries of 'There's nothing to do!' - is cause for public concern and frequent calls to police.

Generally, recreation facilities and personnel in public housing are sparse or non-existent. Where resources do exist, they are very unevenly distributed both in form and content, ranging from nothing in FP13 and FP14 to some services and/or facilities both within and adjacent to public housing, such as Little Mountain, Raymur and McLean Park.

The question arises as to the nature, content, placement and distribution of recreation facilities and personnel. Data and opinions are required from professionals, public housing residents and homeowners adjacent to public housing projects to provide guidelines for rational basis for programme/facility planning and future policies for public housing planning.

Analytical Proposal

A three part action-oriented analysis of recreation needs and public housing is proposed. If it is undertaken immediately under the supervision of the reporting parties a final report with recommendations would be anticipated by March or April 1972.

The three (3) part analysis would consist of the following:

 Demographic data on the fourteen (14) public housing projects; e.g. number of old age pensioners, families, single parent families and age breakdown of number of children;

(continued)

Regular Council, November 16, 1971 .

BOARD OF ADMINISTRATION AND OTHER REPORTS (cont'd)

- E. Recreation Needs and Public Housing (November 5, 1971) continued
 - 2. A survey of Project Managers and professional personnel (such as Recreation Department, Boys' Clubs, Police, Probation, Health) to analyze recreation facilities and services existing in and adjacent to each of the public housing projects, including who uses the programmes, to what extent, who is not reached and opinions about the effectiveness and relevance of programmes;
 - 3. A random survey of (a) public housing residents from each project and (b) area residents adjacent to each project to examine their perspectives of existing facilities and programmes and what is required; how the perceived needs of the two groups differ; feelings about each other and shared community facilities.

Staff Requirements and Operational Costs

The following staff positions are required:

A Study Director with public opinion questionnaire and computer programming skills will be required to co-ordinate the three study areas while providing specific leadership in questionnaire design implementation, analysis and report writing.

The Survey Leaders will be expected to have some experience in questionnaire design, programming and analysis. They will be required to supervise staff, organize interviewers, population samples and data for analysis. One Survey Leader will be assigned to each of the three parts of the study.

The interviewers will be expected to meet the public easily, follow instructions and administer questionnaires consistently and completely.

The following are anticipated staff and operational costs. All staff costs would be fully shareable under the Canada Assistance Plan.

The B.C. Housing Management Commission is requested to participate in sponsoring and financing the analysis in the amount of \$2,500.

The Board of Parks and Public Recreation is likewise sponsoring and financially participating in the analysis in the amount of \$2,000. These monies are available from a research grant from the Community Recreation Branch of the Provincial Government to the Board of Parks and Public Recreation.

		Duration of	
Staff Position	Salary	Contract	Cost
l Study Director	@ \$800/month	5 months	\$ 4,000
3 Survey Leaders	@ \$600/month	<pre>2 for 3 months each 1 for 1 month</pre>	4,200
10 Interviewers	@ \$100/week	3 weeks (30 man-weeks)	3,000
Research Consultants (re: questionnaire design and computer programming)			500
	TOTAL STAFF C	OSTS	\$11,700

- - 10

Regular Council, November 16, 1971 . . .

BOARD OF ADMINISTRATION AND OTHER REPORTS (cont'd)

E. Recreation Needs and Public Housing (November 5, 1971) - continued

Other Costs

Typing & Printing	500
Transportation Costs	500
Computer Time	400
	\$ 1,400

		Cost
	TOTAL COSTS	\$13,100
Less	5 :	
1)	Canada Assistance Plan 50% Cost-Sharing on \$12,200 (\$11,700 staff costs plus \$500 trave)	L
	costs)	6,100
2)	Parks Board - Provincial Government Research Grant	2,000
3)	B.C. Housing Management Commission	2,500
	TOTAL RECOVERIES	\$10,600
	NUM COCH HO CIMV	¢ 2 500

NET COST TO CITY \$ 2,500

Note: This project is exempted by the Federal Gov't. _____

for sharing under the Local Initiative Municipal Programme, since recoveries will be claimed under the Canada Assistance Plan. Recommendation

The Director of Social Planning/Community Development recommends that Council approve the expenditure of \$8,600, which is the total cost of \$13,100 less Parks Board and B. C. Housing Management Commission share of \$4,500, \$2,500 of which would be provided from the Research account of SP/CD, to share in the financing of an analysis of recreation needs and public housing. Funds are available in this account.

Approval of this expenditure would be subject to financial participation by the B. C. Housing Management Commission and the Board of Parks and Public Recreation."

Your Board

SUBMITS the foregoing report of the Director of Social Planning/Community Development for Council CONSIDERATION.

MOVED by Alderman Linnell,

THAT the recommendations of the Director of Social Planning/Community Development, contained in the foregoing Board of Administration report, dated November 5, 1971, be approved.

- CARRIED.

The Council recessed at approximately 10:50 a.m., and, after an 'In Camera' session, reconvened in open session in the Council Chamber at 11:25 a.m., with the same personnel present.

. 11

UNFINISHED BUSINESS (cont'd)

2. Town Planning Commission

The Chairman of the Vancouver Town Planning Commission, Mr. J. MacD. Lecky, appeared, supported by Mrs. H. Symonds, Mr. E. Crowley and Mr. R. Lisogar, and submitted a brief, dated November 16, 1971, setting out various views of the Commission in regard to the structure and function of the Vancouver Town Planning Commission.

Various members of Council asked questions of Mr. Lecky.

It was agreed to recess at this time (approximately 12:00 noon), to reconvene at approximately 2:00 p.m., when discussion on this subject would be continued.

The Council (in Committee of the Whole) reconvened in the Council Chamber at approximately 2:00 P.M. with the following members present:

> His Worship the Deputy Mayor, Alderman Bird PRESENT: Aldermen Adams, Broome, Hardwick, Linnell, Phillips, Rankin, Sweeney and Wilson

His Worship the Mayor (Illness) ABSENT: Alderman Calder (Illness)

UNFINISHED BUSINESS (cont'd)

Town Planning Commission (cont'd)

Further consideration was given to the matters raised earlier this day by the Vancouver Town Planning Commission in respect of its structure and function and the question period continued. After due consideration, however, it was,

MOVED by Ald. Phillips,

× ...

THAT the Council approve of a Nominating Committee along the lines set out in the joint report by the Chairman of the Town Planning Commission and the Director of Planning, dated February 4, 1969;

FURTHER THAT the Council set two principles in making selections for service on the Town Planning Commission:

- (a) that such persons represent a broad cross section of the community, taking into consideration such factors as --
 - (i) area of residence
 - (ii) involvement in organizations (iii) occupation (iv) experience
- (b) that appointments be primarily non political.

(referred)

MOVED by Ald. Broome, THAT this whole matter, including the foregoing motion of Alderman Phillips, be referred to the Standing Committee on Planning and Development for further consideration at the earliest possible date.

- CARRIED

UNFINISHED BUSINESS (cont'd)

Grant in Lieu of Rental of 3. Queen Elizabeth Theatre: Kitsilano Boys' Band

A representative of the Vancouver Kitsilano Boys' Band appeared in support of a request for a grant equal to the rental of the Queen Elizabeth Theatre in connection with an evening concert January 22, 1972. A brief in this regard was submitted under date of November 16, 1971.

MOVED by Ald. Wilson,

THAT a grant equal to the rental of the Queen Elizabeth Theatre for a band concert in January, 1972, be approved.

- LOST

MOVED by Ald. Phillips, in amendment, THAT the words,

'one-half of'

be inserted in the motion of Alderman Wilson, after the words 'equal to'.

- CARRIED

The motion as amended and reading as follows, was CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY:

> "THAT a grant equal to one-half of the rental of the Queen Elizabeth Theatre for a band concert in January, 1972, be approved."

4. Social Allowances: Christmas Bonus

A representative of the Unemployed Citizens Welfare Improvement Council appeared and submitted a brief requesting \$29,000, particularly for assistance to single men and \$1,000 to assist in covering a Christmas banquet; also January cheques be distributed before Christmas.

A representative of the Vancouver Welfare Rights Organization was given the opportunity to speak but did not do so.

MOVED by Ald. Rankin,

THAT the January cheques for welfare recipients be distributed before Christmas.

- LOST

MOVED by Ald. Rankin,
THAT a grant of \$1,000 be made to assist in a Christmas banquet as requested.

- LOST

BOARD OF ADMINISTRATION AND OTHER REPORTS (cont'd)

F. Report of Standing Committee on General Purposes, November 4

MOVED by Ald. Adams,

THAT the report of the Standing Committee on General Purposes dated November 4, 1971, be received for information.

- CARRIED

G. Report of Official Traffic Commission, November 1

MOVED by Ald. Linnell,

THAT the report of the Official Traffic Commission, dated November 1, 1971, be adopted.

- CARRIED

H. Acquisition for Provincial Courts: 298 Main Street

The Board of Administration, under date of November 15, 1971, submitted the following report:

"The Supervisor of Property & Insurance reports as follows:

"The above property was expropriated pursuant to Council Resolution of February 23, 1971 and on November 2, 1971 City Council authorized the Corporation Counsel to apply to the Court for a Vesting Order. It is noted that this property is the only one in the project that is not under the City's control.

This corner site with a frontage of 57° on Main Street and 120° on Cordova Street and zoned CM-1 is improved with a one-storey and part basement masonry building erected in 1910. This former bank building, with a main floor area of 3,513 sq. ft., is owned and occupied by the Seafarers' International Union of Canada. The Seafarers' Union has divided the main floor into a reception and meeting area; the mezzanine floor (825 sq. ft.) into office space and the part basement (1,751 sq.ft.) provides recreation and storage space. This building has 10 plumbing fixtures, a tar and gravel roof, cut atone and concrete on exterior walls, a concrete foundation and is heated by an automatic oil-fired hot water heating system. The Union since purchasing this building in 1957 has done extensive renovating and remodeling and the structure is in very good condition.

After further negotiations the solicitor for the owners has advised that the Union has now located alternate premises and is prepared to deliver up vacant possession not later than December 31, 1971 and convey the property to the City on receipt of an advance payment of \$100,000.00 which would be without prejudice to both parties. This interim settlement which is below the City's final offer has been endorsed by the City Solicitor.

RECOMMENDED that the Supervisor of Property & Insurance be authorized to acquire this property by advancing the sum of \$100,000.00 towards a final settlement, chargeable to Code # 1442/1207."

Your Board

RECOMMENDS that the foregoing recommendation of the Supervisor of Property & Insurance be approved. "

MOVED by Ald. Adams,

THAT the recommendations in the foregoing report be approved.

- CARRIED

Regular Council, November 16, 1971

BOARD OF ADMINISTRATION AND OTHER REPORTS (cont'd)

I. Disposal and Development: Site #17 Champlain Heights

The Board of Administration, under date of November 15, 1971, submitted the following report:

'The Director of Planning and Civic Development reports as follows:

"In a Board of Administration report dated October 22nd, 1971 eleven submissions dealing with proposals for low and moderate income housing were reviewed and Council was asked to select co-operative housing, limited dividend housing or low cost condominium housing. Council, on November 2nd, 1971 passed the following resolution:

'THAT the Board of Administration report as soon as possible on development proposals for Site #17 in Champlain Heights on the basis of Co-operative Housing or Low Cost Condominium Housing.'

On November 3rd, 1971, letters were sent to the eleven groups informing them of Council's action and requesting firm proposals including the following information:

- 1. The price they were prepared to pay.
- 2. The number of townhouse units to be constructed.
- 3. The approximate size (sq.ft.), number of bedrooms and selling price of units.
- 4. The type of financing to be employed.
- 5. Previous experience in building low-cost condominium housing.
- 6. Starting and completion dates.
- 7. Other facilities to be provided.
- 8. Guarantees that would be provided for meeting the above.

Firm proposals were received from:

Dawson Developments Ltd.
Embassy Estates Ltd.
LaingConstruction and Equipment Ltd.
McKenzie, Snowball, Skalbania & Assoc. Ltd.
United Co-operative Housing Society.

The letters of submission are attached as Appendix I. A tabular summary of the submissions is attached as Appendix II.

This report does not intend to debate the merits of low cost condominium vs. co-operative housing. Council is aware of the merits of each.

If co-operative housing is desired, Council should accept the proposal of the United Co-operative Housing Society. It should be pointed out, however that three of the four low-cost condominium developers are prepared to commence at the beginning of next year and complete development by summer of 1972 whereas the United Co-operative Housing Society will not be able to begin until April, 1972 with completion in the spring of 1973. For this reason, the proposal of the United Co-operative Housing Society is not recommended.

BOARD OF ADMINISTRATION AND OTHER REPORTS (cont'd)

Disposal and Development: Site #17 Champlain Heights (cont'd)

In the case of low cost condominium housing, it is suggested the following should be the basis for decision.

The proposal submitted by Laing Construction and Equipment Ltd. does not meet the criteria as far as the total cost of units and construction timing is concerned and should not be considered.

The three other schemes are fairly comparable in terms of monthly payments, timing and financing.

There are some variations in terms of the size of units, additional facilities proposed, unit types (numbers of bedrooms) and the price the developer is prepared to pay for the site.

In terms of price, the offer of \$445,000 from Dawson Developments Ltd. is \$9,000 more than the offer from Embassy Estates Ltd. of \$436,000 and \$41,000 more than the offer from McKenzie, Snowball, Skalbania and Associates Ltd. of \$404,000.

Embassy Estates Ltd. is providing larger units (5% greater) than Dawson Developments Ltd. McKenzie, Snowball, Skalbania & Associates Ltd. are providing 10-15% less total floor area than either Embassy or Dawson.

Embassy Estates and McKenzie, Snowball et al propose a greater variety of unit types by providing 2, 3 and 4 bedroom units. Dawson Developments Ltd. are proposing only three bedroom units.

On the basis that McKenzie, Snowball et al have offered the lowest price for the site, have had the least experience in the construction of low cost condominium housing and are providing the least total floor area at a price almost equal to the two competitors, it is proposed that they not be considered.

Dawson Developments Ltd. and Embassy Estates Ltd. are comparable in all respects except that Dawson are prepared to pay a higher price for the land (\$9,000 more) but Embassy Estates are providing larger and more diverse units at a lower selling price.

It is therefore RECOMMENDED that Council award Site #17 to Embassy Estates Ltd., subject to the provision of a performance bond for completion by August, 1972."

Your Board RECOMMENDS that the report of the Director of Planning and Civic Development be approved.

(Appendices referred to are on file in the City Clerk's Office)

It was noted the United Co-operative Housing Society has requested, by letter dated November 8th, to appear before Council on the question.

MOVED by Ald. Broome,

THAT the recommendations in the foregoing report of the Board of Administration be adopted.

- CARRIED

cont'd....

BOARD OF ADMINISTRATION AND OTHER REPORTS (cont'd)

Disposal and Development: Site #17 Champlain Heights (cont'd)

MOVED by Ald. Hardwick,

THAT the United Co-operative Housing Society be notified that more land in the Champlain Heights will be available in 1972 and the Society will be able to bid at that time.

- CARRIED

J. B.C. Job Opportunities Committee Program: Temporary Clerk I - Record Classification and Disposal

The Board of Administration, under date of November 15, 1971, submitted the following report:

"The Director of Personnel Services reports as follows:

"The Personnel Services Department and the Department of Permits and Licenses, in awareness of the above Provincial Program and because of the need to accelerate the classification, disposal and microfilming of records, wish to establish a new temporary clerical position until April 30th, 1972.

In the Personnel Services Department, the employee would sort and collate files stored in the department and the vault and prepare material for transfer to the Archives and for disposal. In the Department of Permits and Licenses, he would prepare plans for microfilming. The Co-ordinator of Data Processing, is in agreement with the establishment of this temporary position; two weeks for Personnel Services and five months for Permits and Licenses.

In consideration of the work to be performed, I recommend that this position be classified as Clerk I (Temporary until April 30th, 1972) Pay Grade 7 (\$367-429) effective when filled.

The estimated non-recurring cost of this proposal at 1971 (2nd half) rates and including fringe benefits at 12% would be approximately \$2,422. of which the Province of British Columbia would pay one-half (\$1,212 = 50% of gross salary plus benefits).

The Comptroller of Accounts advises that the 1971 proportion of cost, i.e. approximately \$616.00 would be available in the departmental accounts. The 1972 proportion of approximately \$1,806.00 for the Department of Permits and Licenses requires City Council approval in advance of the 1972 Budget.

The report has been discussed with the Director of Inspections and the Business Manager of the Municipal and Regional Employees Union, both of whom concur herein."

SUMMARY

Incumbent Proposed Classification Effective Date

One NEW Clerk I, Pay Grade 7 When Filled
Position (\$367-429)* Approximately
(Temporary for November 16th,1971
5 1/2 months)

* 1971 (2nd half) rates

Your Board RECOMMENDS that the foregoing recommendation of the Director of Personnel Services be adopted."

cont'd....

30d Regular Council, November 16, 1971 17

BOARD OF ADMINISTRATION AND OTHER REPORTS (cont'd)

B.C. Job Opportunities Committee Program: (cont'd)

MOVED by Ald. Adams, THAT the foregoing recommendations be approved.

- CARRIED

Council Minutes: Special Meeting on Transportation November 15, 1971

MOVED by Ald. Wilson,
THAT the Rules of Procedure be suspended as appropriate in order to deal with the Minutes of the Special Council Meeting of November 15th, 1971, on transportation matters.

> - CARRIED BY THE REQUIRED MAJORITY

MOVED by Ald. Wilson,

THAT the Minutes of the Special meeting of Council dated November 15, 1971, re transportation be adopted, after correction as follows: - CARRIED

> On Page 5, the amendment of Alderman Wilson be made to read as follows:

"MOVED by Ald. Wilson,
THAT the motion of Alderman Hardwick be amended by deleting the word 'light' and adding to the motion the following 'this is referring to the core area' - LOST"

MOVED by Ald. Broome,

THAT the City Council representatives on the Greater Vancouver Regional District be instructed to vote on this transportation matter strictly in accordance with the directions contained in the Special Council Minutes of November 15, 1971.

- CARRIED

COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE

MOVED by Ald. Hardwick, THAT the Committee of the Whole rise and report.

- CARRIED

MOVED by Ald. Hardwick, SECONDED by Ald. Wilson,

THAT the report of the Committee of the Whole be adopted.

- CARRIED

BY-LAWS

309

BY-LAW TO AMEND BY-LAW No. 3575, BEING THE ZONING AND DEVELOPMENT BY-LAW
 (N/S of 58th Avenue between Kerr Street and Rosemont Drive)

MOVED by Ald. Sweeney, SECONDED by Ald. Broome,

THAT leave be given to introduce a By-law to amend By-law No. 3575, being the Zoning and Development By-law, and the By-law be read a first time.

- CARRIED

MOVED by Ald. Sweeney, SECONDED by Ald. Broome,

THAT the By-law be read a second time.

- CARRIED

MOVED by Ald. Sweeney, SECONDED by Ald. Broome,

THAT Council do resolve itself into Committee of the Whole, to consider and report on the By-law, His Worship the Deputy Mayor in the Chair.

- CARRIED

MOVED by Ald. Sweeney,

THAT the Committee of the Whole rise and report.

- CARRIED

The Committee then rose and reported the By-law complete.

MOVED by Ald. Sweeney, SECONDED by Ald. Broome,

THAT the report of the Committee of the Whole be adopted.

- CARRIED

MOVED by Ald. Sweeney, SECONDED by Ald. Broome,

THAT the By-law be read a third time and the Mayor and City Clerk be authorized to sign same and affix thereto the Corporate Seal.

- CARRIED

(The By-law received three readings)

2. BY-LAW TO AMEND BY-LAW No. 3575, BEING THE ZONING AND DEVELOPMENT BY-LAW (S/W corner of Cordova Street and Jackson Avenue)

MOVED by Ald. Linnell, SECONDED by Ald. Broome,

THAT leave be given to introduce a By-law to amend By-law No. 3575, being the Zoning and Development By-law, and the By-law be read a first time.

- CARRIED

MOVED by Ald. Linnell, SECONDED by Ald. Broome,

THAT the By-law be read a second time.

- CARRIED

MOVED by Ald. Linnell,

SECONDED by Ald. Broome,

THAT Council do resolve itself into Committee of the Whole, to consider and report on the By-law, His Worship the Deputy Mayor in the Chair.

- CARRIED

MOVED by Ald. Linnell,

THAT the Committee of the Whole rise and report.

- CARRIED

The Committee then rose and reported the By-law complete.

BY-LAWS (cont'd)

BY-LAW TO AMEND BY-LAW No. 3575 (cont'd)

MOVED by Ald. Linnell, SECONDED by Ald. Broome,

THAT the report of the Committee of the Whole be adopted.

- CARRIED

MOVED by Ald. Linnell, SECONDED by Ald. Broome,

THAT the By-law be read a third time and the Mayor and City Clerk be authorized to sign same and affix thereto the Corporate Seal.

- CARRIED

(The By-law received three readings)

3. BY-LAW TO AMEND BY-LAW No. 3575, BEING THE ZONING AND DEVELOPMENT BY-LAW (S/E corner Victoria Drive and 37th Avenue and Westerly Portion of Lot A, N/W corner of Burrard St. and Smithe-Haro Connector)

MOVED by Ald. Hardwick, SECONDED by Ald. Rankin,

THAT leave be given to introduce a By-law to amend By-law No. 3575, being the Zoning and Development By-law, and the By-law be read a first time.

- CARRIED

MOVED by Ald. Hardwick, SECONDED by Ald. Rankin,

THAT the By-law be read a second time.

- CARRIED

MOVED by Ald. Hardwick, SECONDED by Ald. Rankin,

THAT Council do resolve itself into Committee of the Whole, to consider and report on the By-law, His Worship the Deputy Mayor in the Chair.

- CARRIED

MOVED by Ald. Hardwick,

THAT the Committee of the Whole rise and report.

- CARRIED

The Committee then rose and reported the By-law complete.

MOVED by Ald. Hardwick, SECONDED by Ald. Rankin

THAT the report of the Committee of the Whole be adopted.

CARRIED

MOVED by Ald. Hardwick, SECONDED by Ald. Rankin,

THAT the By-law be read a third time and the Mayor and City Clerk be authorized to sign same and affix thereto the Corporate Seal.

- CARRIED

(The By-law received three readings)

BY-LAW TO AMEND BY-LAW No. 2193 BEING THE FIRE BY-LAW

MOVED by Ald. Wilson, SECONDED by Ald. Sweeney,

THAT leave be given to introduce a By-law to amend By-law No. 2193 being the Fire By-law, and the By-law be read a first time.

- CARRIED

BY-LAWS (cont'd)

BY-LAW TO AMEND BY-LAW No. 2193 BEING THE FIRE BY-LAW (cont'd)

MOVED by Ald. Wilson, SECONDED by Ald. Sweeney THAT the By-law be read a second time.

- CARRIED

MOVED by Ald. Wilson, SECONDED by Ald. Sweeney,

THAT Council do resolve itself into Committee of the Whole, to consider and report on the By-law, His Worship the Deputy Mayor in the Chair.

- CARRIED

MOVED by Ald. Wilson,

THAT the Committee of the Whole rise and report.

- CARRIED

The Committee then rose and reported the By-law complete.

MOVED by Ald. Wilson, SECONDED by Ald. Sweeney,

THAT the report of the Committee of the Whole be adopted.

- CARRIED

MOVED by Ald. Wilson, SECONDED by Ald. Sweeney,

THAT the By-law be read a third time and the Mayor and City Clerk be authorized to sign same and affix thereto the Corporate Seal.

- CARRIED

(The By-law received three readings)

MOTIONS

1. Closing Lane south of 19th Avenue West of Gladstone Street

MOVED by Ald. Rankin, SECONDED by Ald. Adams, THAT WHEREAS

- 1. The City of Vancouver is the owner of all the streets and lanes lying within the limits of the City of Vencouver;
- 2. A portion of the lane dedicated by the deposit of Plan 8750 is surplus to the City's highway requirements;
- 3. The abutting owner wishes to acquire the surplus portion of lane;

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT all that portion of lane dedicated by the deposit of plan 8750 lying to the north and west of the southerly and easterly productions of Lot "E", Block 15 of Blocks 20 and 21, District Lot 195, Group 1, New Westminster District, Plan 8750; the same as shown outlined red on plan prepared by A. Burhoe, B.C.L.S. dated August 11, 1971, and marginally numbered LF 5774, a print of which is hereunto annexed; be closed, stopped up and conveyed to the owner of said Lot F;

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT the said closed lane be consolidated with said Lot "E".

MOTIONS (cont'd)

2. Interim Detoxification Centre

On November 9th Notice was given of a motion re Interim Detoxification Centre which, after being changed by agreement, reads as follows:

MOVED by Ald. Rankin, SECONDED by Ald. Hardwick,

THAT WHEREAS the Detoxification Centre for the City of Vancouver is vitally needed;

AND WHEREAS considerable progress has been made in the implementation of a permanent hospital in this regard;

AND WHEREAS the condition of the people in the Skid Road area regarding alcoholism is at the critical stage and has been for a number of years;

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT the City of Vancouver proceed with the establishment of a unit, with the Provincial Government, for an Interim Detoxification Centre, as set out in the brief of the Salvation Army Harbour Lights Corps of October 19, 1971, by arranging the immediate financing for such a facility, and the Board of Administration report on the whole matter as soon as possible.

- CARRIED

MOVED by Ald. Broome,

THAT the whole question be referred to the Board of Administration for an information report to Council at its next meeting.

- LOST

(The motion of Alderman Rankin and Hardwick was put and carried)

At this point the Council observed a short recess, following which the Council reconvened with the following members present:

PRESENT: His Worship the Deputy Mayor Alderman Bird

Alderman Adams Alderman Broome Alderman Rankin Alderman Sweeney Alderman Wilson

MOTIONS (cont'd)

3. Town Planning Commission

On November 9th Alderman Calder gave notice of a motion in respect of the Town Planning Commission which was re-submitted this day but failed to receive a seconder. Therefore, no further action was taken.

ENQUIRIES AND OTHER MATTERS

Alderman Wilson -37th Avenue between Wallace and Camosun referred to road maintenance in this block and requested the Engineering Department to have the situation improved as soon as possible.

The Deputy Mayor directed the request to the Board of Administration.

ENQUIRIES AND OTHER MATTERS (cont'd)

Alderman Wilson -Steel Scaffolding: Capilano Stadium requested the following information be given to him:

- (a) original cost
- (b) responsible party for demolition
- (c) whether the scaffolding in its present condition can be reassembled.

Alderman Adams -29th Avenue and Angus: Rat Problem referred to a rat condition in the area of 29th Avenue and Angus and enquired what can be done in an endeavour to remove the menace.

Commissioner Ryan advised he would look into the matter.

Alderman Broome -Dumping of Deer Hides, etc. on Open Property_ referred to a newspaper report on a complaint respecting the dumping of deer hides, etc. on open property near the 1900 block Skeena Street and enquired of the matter.

It was reported by the City Clerk that a letter has been received from Mr. W. B. Page, addressed to the Medical Health Officer with copies to the members of Council and the Medical Health Officer has been requested to report to the Board of Administration. In due course the Council will be advised accordingly.

Alderman Sweeney -New Port Authority: Meeting a member of the new Port Authority, advised the first meeting will be held on Friday, November 19th.

Alderman Broome - Condition of Port

referred to information given to him suggesting that the Port of Vancouver is in an unsatisfactory condition and therefore it would appear there is need for a strong central authority to improve the situation.

Alderman Sweeney said he was aware of the matter and would be taking the question up with the new Port Authority.

The Council adjourned at approximately 4:30 P.M.

The foregoing are Minutes of the Regular Council meeting dated November 16, 1971, which were adopted on November 23, 1971.

2/1000

CITY CLERK

BOARD OF ADMINISTRATION (WORKS) 1

November 12th, 1971

The following is a report of the Board of Administration:

WORKS & UTILITY MATTERS

CITY ENGINEER'S REPORT

RECOMMENDATIONS:

Columbia-Quebec Connector - Temporary Crossing l. of Burlington Northern Inc. Railway Tracks

"In order to provide secondary access to abutting property owners at the south end of the Columbia-Quebec Connector as per property negotiations and now requested by those owners, it will be necessary to cross the tracks of the B.N.I. The Railway Company has agreed to grant to the City a temporary crossing permit so that vehicles may have access to the south end of the

The City will be required to indemnify and save harmless the Railway Company against any loss or damage and the construction of the crossing is to be at the expense of the City. The agreement would terminate November 30th, 1973, or sooner, pending completion of permanent crossings.

The form of agreement is to be approved by Corporation Counsel.

The access road will consist of a gravel surface only.

I RECOMMEND that Corporation Counsel be instructed to prepare temporary crossing agreement between the Burlington Northern Inc., and the City of Vancouver, to accommodate the above, and that the same be executed by the layer City Clerk, and the seal of the City affixed to the agreement."

**Resimble See Page 3, Franctive Filt. 1, 1972

Your Board RECOMMENDS that the foregoing be approved.

2. Urban Renewal Area A5 - Purchase of Cordova-Powell Connector Right-of-Way

"The agreements with the Federal and Provincial Governments for the implementation of Urban Renewal Schemes provide that land acquired by the Urban Renewal Partnership and dedicated for streets will be paid for by the City at a price per square foot established in the agreement. Similarly, City streets and lanes closed are sold to the Partnership at the same unit price. Within Urban Renewal Area A5, the right-of-way for the Cordova-Powell Connector has been dedicated and the adjacent block of Campbell Avenue and a portion of the lane south of Powell Street are to be closed and sold to the Partnership. The estimated values at the established price per square foot are:-

> Cordova-Powell Connector \$66,800 Less Campbell Avenue and lane 27,800 \$39,000

I RECOMMEND that the sum of \$39,000 be appropriated from Unallocated Streets Capital Funds Account 147/7901 "Major Street Paving - Unallocated" to cover the net cost of the Cordova-Powell right-of-way."

3. Installation of Water Mains 1971 Capital Budget

"The 1971 Water Works Capital Budget included \$110,000 set aside for servicing Area E of the South East Sector. This work is unlikely to be carried out within the 1971 Budget period because the Subdivision Design has not been finalized.

It is proposed that these funds be made available for other scheduled work.

The following water main installations are required to improve the system capacity for fire protection:-

WATER MAIN PROJECT 110

StreetFromToPendrell StreetStanley ParkCardero StreetChilco StreetComox StreetPendrell StreetBurnaby StreetCardero StreetJervis Street

The estimated cost is \$72,000.

I RECOMMEND:-

- (a) that \$110,000 be transferred from Account Gode 124/5323, 'Servicing Area E' to the 1971 Water Works Capital Budget, 'Short Notice Projects Unallocated', Account Gode 127/7902.
- (b) that water mains be installed on the above-mentioned streets and that \$72,000 be appropriated for this work from Account No. 127/7902, 'Short Notice Projects Unallocated', subject to Item (a) approval."

Your Board RECOMMENDS that the foregoing be approved.

4. Tender No. 57-71-10 - Supply & Delivery of Cast Iron Water Works Fittings, Valves and Hydrants

Tenders for the subject supplies were opened by your Board on September 27, 1971 and referred to the City Engineer and Purchasing Agent for report. The working tabulation is on file in the Purchasing Agent's Office.

The officials concerned report as follows:

"The tender call was for 113 items and requested prices for one and two-year periods.

Four firms submitted bids. The overall low bid to specifications for a two-year period was submitted by Terminal City Iron Works Ltd. at a total estimated cost of \$144,532.65 plus 5% Provincial S.S. Tax per year.

Canron Ltd. offered lower prices on three items for a one-year contract only. However, Terminal City Iron Works Ltd. offered an additional 2% discount if the contract were awarded to them in its entirety. Therefore, this additional discount is much higher than any savings that would be realized by splitting the contract and awarding it for a one-year period.

All items offered by Terminal City Iron Works Ltd. will be manufactured in Vancouver.

Board of Administration, November 12th, 1971 (WORKS) 3

Clause 4 Continued

RECOMMENDATION

The City Engineer and Purchasing Agent RECOMMEND acceptance of the overall low bid submitted by Terminal City Iron Works Ltd. for a two-year period at the unit prices offered, at a total estimated cost of \$144,532.65 per year, plus 5% Provincial S.S. Tax."

Your Board RECOMMENDS that the recommendation of the City Engineer and Purchasing Agent be approved, subject to a contract satisfactory to the Corporation Counsel.

FOR ADOPTION SEE PAGE(S) 294

Board of Administration, November 12, 1971 (BUILDING - 1)

BUILDING AND PLANNING MATTERS

RECOMMENDATION

1. Uniform Building Code for the Regional District

The Greater Vancouver Regional District, on July 28th, 1971, agreed to apply for Supplementary Letters Patent which would give the District authority to pass a Uniform Building Code. To make such application, the consent of two-thirds of the Municipal Councils is required and the Secretary of the Board has written asking if the Vancouver City Council concurs with the proposal.

A model by-law, incorporating the National Building Code, has been prepared by the Technical Sub-Committee appointed for this purpose, a copy or which is in the hands of the City Clerk. The proposed application for Supplementary Letters Patent would incorporate this model as the Uniform Building Code for the District.

The City Building Inspector reports as follows:

"The Technical Sub-Committee, which prepared the model by-law, rewrote Part I, Administration of the National Building Code because they did not feel that Part I adequately covered the matters requiring control. The rewriting was done in such a way that individual municipalities could modify or add to the proposed administration section in a manner appropriate to their peculiar needs or wishes. Such modification would apply only to the administration part. The technical content of the National Building Code would be identical throughout the Regional District. Examples of matters which are now dealt with differently by member municipalities are control of street occupancy during construction, control of canopies and cornices over streets and other such projections, scale of fees etc. If one by-law applies throughout the District, any regulation peculiar to only one municipality would have to be included. Fourteen sets of peculiarities could make the by-law rather cumbersome.

The present Vancouver Building By-law contains provisions arising out of the Charter which differ from those in building by-laws based on the Municipal Act. Some of these are as follows:

- (1) The Building Inspector has discretionary power to grant a permit for any type of construction not specifically covered by the By-law, if he is satisfied that there is no danger to life or danger of damage to property arising from the use of such construction. No such power is held by Building Inspectors in other municipalities.
- (2) The Building Inspector has power to certify a building or part thereof to be unsafe and to order it demolished or repaired or to order the suspension of work where such is in progress. In other municipalities the Council must be of the opinion that a building or part thereof is unsafe in order that the Building Inspector may order demolition or repair.
- (3) The Building Inspector has power to cancel a building permit for cause. In other municipalities such power is exercised by the Council.
- (4) In Vancouver, the Building Inspector has the responsibility for assigning street numbers to buildings. Few, if any, of the other municipalities assign this duty to the Building Inspector.

Clause 2 continued

- (5) In Vancouver occupancy of streets where buildings are under construction is controlled through the Building By-law. In some other municipalities such control is exercised by other departments.
- (6) In Vancouver, projections over streets such as cornices, architectural details, balconies, canopies, awnings etc. are controlled in the Building By-law. As in (4) above, some municipalities control this through other departments.

There does not appear to be any reason to change these administrative arrangements as far as Vancouver is concerned. It would appear then that Council must decide to agree to one administrative document for the whole District or to opt for each individual municipality passing its own by-law. If the decision is for one by-law for the Regional District, the Regional Board should be advised that Vancouver wishes these provisions to be included in the By-law for application in the Vancouver area.

In conclusion, it is re-emphasized that the Technical Sub-Committee of the Board is recommending that the technical content of the National Building Code be uniform throughout the Regional District. The point in question is whether or not the administrative requirements should be identical.

It appears there are two courses of action for Council

(a) To agree to having one Building By-law for the whole District including administrative requirements. In this case the Regional Board should be requested to include provisions peculiar to Vancouver

or

(b) To advise the Regional Board that Vancouver would prefer to pass its own Building By-law. As noted above, the technical content of the 1970 National Building Code would form the body of the By-law in common with the By-laws of the other municipalities. The Administration Section only would differ from those of other municipalities.

It appears to me that the course of action outlined in (a) would lead to a cumbersome by-law. I would therefore recommend that Council follow the course of action outlined in (b)."

Your Board RECOMMENDS that the recommendation of the City Building Inspector be adopted.

INFORMATION

2. Low Cost Condominium Housing

The Director of Planning and Civic Development reports as follows:

"On October 5th, 1971, Alderman Bird enquired as follows:

'Deputy Mayor Alderman Bird requested the Board of Administration arrange for negotiations with the Central Mortgage and Housing Corporation in an endeavour to have low interest money available for construction in the City, of condominium housing since at the present time developers are unable to meet the \$15,000 ceiling.'

Board of Administration, November 12, 1971 . . . (BUILDING - 3)

Clause 2 continued

In response to this enquiry, Mr. K.B. Ganong, Manager, Central Mortgage and Housing Corporation was contacted and he has responded by letter of November 5th, 1971, indicating that as of November 1st, 1971, C.M.H.C. is now in a position to finance low cost, low interest loans for people with a maximum income of \$7,000 per annum. The \$15,000 referred to in the resolution was related to the previous income restriction of \$4,000 - \$6,000 income range. The effect of this increased income level will be to allow for a selling price of up to \$17,000 with a sliding interest and repayment period dependent on the actual income of the individual involved.

Mr. Ganong notes that 'we are prepared to consider a serious proposal from a developer or builder that meets these new terms of reference. There is a further condition that these loans must be committed by us by the end of this year and the project is to be started within sixty days of the commitment date.'

The effect of this change in the terms of reference for these low-cost loans is to make possible the construction of low-cost condominium housing on Site #17 in Champlain Heights and a verbal report on Site #17 will be presented concurrently with this report."

Your Board submits the foregoing for the INFORMATION of Council.

(Copies of the letter from Mr. K.B. Ganong dated November 5th, 1971, are circulated for the information of Council).

3. Housing for Senior Citizens

The Director of Planning and Civic Development reports as follows:

"On October 5th, 1971, City Council resolved:

'THAT WHEREAS there are one million dollars included in the five year plan for housing;

AND WHEREAS there is an extreme shortage of senior citizens' housing;

AND WHEREAS there is a proposal by the Provincial Government for granting one-half wages to certain citizens who have been on welfare the previous three months;

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT the Council instruct the Board of Administration to proceed with diligence to complete a plan to produce through service clubs, ethnic groups and Public Housing Authority, plans for building sufficient units to meet the current shortage.'

For purposes of clarity, the subject matter of this report has been divided into the following headings:

- 1. Demand for Senior Citizens' Housing
- 2. Provincial Government Job Opportunities Programme
- 3. Plans for the Development of Senior Citizens' Housing
- 4. Utilization of \$1,000,000 Revolving Fund

Board of Administration, November 12, 1971 (BUILDING - 4)

Clause 3 continued

DEMAND FOR SENIOR CITIZENS' HOUSING

It is impossible to quantify the demand for senior citizens' housing at the local Vancouver City level. The demand for such housing is undoubtedly very high. The supply of senior citizens' units will be limited by the few sites available. In Vancouver there are very few City and privately-owned sites which can be consolidated economically and developed for low density variety of senior citizens' housing. There are medium and high density residential sites available where the economics of senior citizens' development are more encouraging but there are very few non-profit groups who have the financial resources to purchase such sites and to take on large scale development.

The demand for City lands for this type of development is very high because it is for all intent and purposes the only land which is vacant and the only land which is sold at assessed value.

PROVINCIAL GOVERNMENT JOB OPPORTUNITIES PROGRAMME

Most non-profit groups depend on the 1/3 grant made available by the Provincial Government for the development of senior citizens' housing. The budget money for the 1/3 grant for 1971 has already been allocated and senior citizens' developments are proceeding.

There appears to be only one organization (Society for Christian Care for Elderly) which has plans advanced to a stage where they could take advantage of the Provincial Government Job Opportunities Programme and could also use City assistance in securing a site. The details of this proposal are not complete as yet and will be presented to Council when available.

PLANS FOR DEVELOPMENT OF SENIOR CITIZENS' HOUSING

In order to assess the problems of non-profit groups in providing senior citizens' housing, and to familiarize ourselves with groups existing in the community, an advertisement was placed in the local newspapers on October 19th, 1971 indicating that the City would assist those groups who have projects in the planning stage. This advertisement is attached as Appendix I.

The response was overwhelming. Innumerable telephone calls were received, as well as letters from the following organizations:

Alva Development Corporation Ltd.
Anavets Senior Citizens' Housing Society
Association of Benevolent Craftsmen
Calling Foundation
Kiwanis Senior Citizens' Society
Mt. Pleasant Branch No. 177 Royal Canadian Legion
Scottish Women's Housing Association
Society for Christian Care for Elderly
The Netherlands Association.

These letters are on file in the Planning and Civic Development Department.

The general observations resulting from this contact are:

Clause 3 continued

- there are many non-profit groups seeking aid for providing senior citizens' housing
- 2. most of these groups have very limited financial resources which in turn limits the size of the site they can purchase, size of development, type and size of operation, etc. that they can get involved in
- 3. most of the groups, because of (2), are restricted to sites which permit low density forms of senior citizens' housing
- 4. most of the groups have very little experience in this field and some of their funds are depleted because of their need to hire professional help in preliminary investigations
- 5. some organizations however are gaining experience and a few are making a professional career of providing senior citizens' housing.

The Civic Development Division has been able to assist groups in two ways:

- 1. examining available City lands with a view to providing potential senior citizens' development
- examining more easily consolidated private sites where the City may be able to assist in acquiring and consolidating property.

A considerable amount of time has been spent in going over the possible City lands and partially-owned City lands which might be considered for senior citizens' housing. This exercise in fact was an intensification of what already has and is happening. Over the last six months, the Property and Insurance Division have been negotiating with five non-profit groups for the sale of City-owned land for senior citizens' housing. The sites and groups involved are as follows:

- 1. an approximately 3 1/2 acre site at Bruce Street and 43rd Avenue Mennonite organization
- 2. a site on 11th Avenue between Clark and Woodland Drives-B.C. Housing Foundation
- 3. an approximately 1/2 acre triangular site at Argyle Drive and Balmoral Street Lions' Club
- 4. an approximately 1.7 acre site at Victoria and Harrison Drives involving potential closure of Harrison Drive to be consolidated with existing development German-Canadian Benevolent Association
- 5. an approximately 2 acre site at Rosemont Drive east of Kerr Street N. Kopernik Foundation

Examination by the various non-profit groups attracted by the advertisement will undoubtedly result in further negotiations with the City and in rezoning applications by the non-profit groups. This concentrated effort has certain drawbacks to the system of checking and releasing lands in a more gradual way for senior citizens' housing. These drawbacks are as follows:

Board of Administration, November 12, 1971 . . . (BUILDING - 6)

Clause 3 continued

1. the time spent by both civic staff and non-profit groups is not commensurate with the return in providing housing units. Non-profit groups are advised of potential sites and each group invests time and/or money in the examination of these sites with no assurance that the site will be theirs

- 2. because of the very limited number of suitable City properties this could result in competition between non-profit groups who are in fact providing the same service
- this intensification results in pressure to sell all small vacant City lands for senior citizens' housing. In some cases, such sites may be unsuitable for senior citizens' housing and in fact may be better used for other forms of of housing. It should be noted however that other forms of low cost housing generally are not as readily acceptable in residential communities but they do provide very necessary accommodation and in addition some tax return to the City and a higher revenue from the sale of City lands.

UTILIZATION OF \$1,000,000 REVOLVING FUND

In examining the possibilities of consolidation of private lands and consolidation of lands where both private and City ownerships are involved, the Civic Development Division has been able to identify some consolidation opportunities where the \$1,000,000 revolving fund could be utilized.

With the possible exception of the proposal of the Society for Christian Care for Elderly where there might be immediate use of the \$1,000,000 revolving fund, it is suggested that a general policy be adopted on housing, including the use of this money. A report on this topic is in draft form and should be presented very shortly."

Your Board submits the report of the Director of Planning and Civic Development for the INFORMATION of Council.

(Copies of the advertisement of October 19th, 1971, are attached as Appendix I for the information of Council).

RECOMMENDATION

4. Strathcona Rehabilitation Project - Staff

The Director of Planning and Civic Development reports as follows:

"At its meeting on August 10th, 1971 Council approved the recommendation of the Standing Committee on Planning and Development dealing with the Strathcona Project.

It appears that the signing of an Agreement will take place within the next week or so and various steps are underway to launch the project.

The report of the Strathcona Rehabilitation Committee leading to Council approval recommended various staff functions and responsibilities. This has been reviewed by the Committee and the following recommendations are made with regard to the initial staff.

Board of Administration, November 12, 1971 (BUILDING - 7) 323

Clause 4 continued

PROJECT CO-ORDINATOR

This is the key position in the site office and it is imperative that somebody who is sympathetic to the project as well as being experienced in this type of administration should be appointed. There is an advantage in having someone who has been involved with the work during the preparation of the Agreement. The whole project is required to be completed within three years and all the jobs therefore are for a limited period only.

A suitable individual for this position is Mr. James Lowden, a permanent employee of Central Mortgage and Housing Corporation. He has been involved in the work leading up to the Agreement and is acceptable to all members of the Working Committee. As Mr. Lowden is a permanent employee of C.M.H.C. the most suitable arrangement appears to be for him to remain on their staff but to be seconded to the Civic Development Division for the period of the project. His salary, car allowance, fringe benefits, etc. would continue to be paid by C.M.H.C. and there would be no loss of seniority for him. The cost of his salary would be shared on the same basis as if he were a City employee.

INFORMATION OFFICER

It is extremely important that this person be Chinese-speaking. It is equally important that this individual also have some understanding of the people living in the area, be familiar to them and be trusted by them.

As in the case of the Project Co-ordinator, this suggests seconding somebody from an established Agency. Mr. Jonathon Lau who is employed as a Community Development Worker by Neighbourhood Services Association, is a very suitable person for this position and is acceptable to all members of the Working Committee.

A slightly different arrangement would need to be made as Neighbourhood Services Association is not a party to the Agreement or a partner as far as the cost-sharing is concerned. Neighbourhood Services Association has however undertaken to make Mr. Lau available to the project on a Contract arrangement.

FINANCIAL INFORMATION OFFICER

This is one of the positions recommended by the Committee to be employed by C.M.H.C. A retired bank manager with experience of banking operation in the Chinatown area is available and is acceptable to all members of the Committee. He would be responsible for processing applications, particularly with respect to applicant's income and ability to pay.

Mr. James Boyce, the individual concerned, is familiar with the community but is not bilingual. He has now been hired by C.M.H.C.

INSPECTOR

This is also one of the positions where a C.M.H.C. individual is to be involved. A suitable candidate has yet to be found and as he will be responsible for site inspections both before approving grant loans and subsequently during the course of the work, he is a very important individual in the operation.

Board of Administration, November 12, 1971 . . . (BUILDING - 8) 324

Clause 4 continued

An attempt is being made to find a bilingual person for this position but it appears that this is not likely to be successful.

ASSISTANT INFORMATION OFFICER

Because the Project Co-ordinator, the Financial Information Officer and presumably the Inspector do not speak, read or write Chinese, it is imperative that the other individual on the staff dealing with these sorts of activities should have a Chinese background.

At one time it was thought that the project would require two full time information officers and this may yet prove to be the case. Initially, however, it is recommended that there should be an Assistant Information Officer who can assist both the Information Officer and the Financial Information Officer and also act as interpreter for the Inspector if necessary.

This individual should have a degree, preferably in the social sciences. It would be an advantage if he also has some financial, construction or real estate experience. He should give amended evidence of interest in the Strathcona community and must be see PAGE 25 fluent in Cantonese. Some knowledge of Mandarin would be an advantage.

There is no class specification which is suitable for this position and a special position will need to be established. This person would be a City employee and the initial period of the job would be for two years with a possible extension for a further six or twelve months, dependent on the speed with which the project is carried out.

SECRETARY

All the individuals concerned would be operating out of a site office and all the records and files would be kept there. It is hoped that only one typist will be needed for this project but she must be an individual who is capable of operating an office with a fair degree of independence. Shorthand is not necessarily required for this job and office management skills are considered more important. It is a requirement of this position that the individual be fluent in at least Cantonese and should have some background in and understanding of the Strathcona community.

The Director of Personnel Services will be reporting on the appropriate classification for this position.

This position will be temporary for 2 1/2 years with a possibility of a further six months' extension. It is possible that the work load may develop to the point where extra clerical assistance is necessary and if this happens, a separate report will be submitted. The incumbent of this position will be a City employee.

SOCIAL PLANNER

There are a number of social planning problems related to the area which demand attention as part of the total rehabilitation programme as they directly affect both the immediate success of the project and the longer range impact on the Strathcona community. It is important here, as with several other positions, that the individual involved have an extensive knowledge of the Strathcona area.

Board of Administration, November 12, 1971 . . . (BUILDING - 9)

Clause 4 continued

The plan is to appoint a special staff member to the Department of Social Planning and Community Development who would work in the site office with the Project Co-ordinator and the rehabilitation team.

The salary of this individual would be a shared cost, as a temporary position of $2\ 1/2$ years with the term to be reviewed before the end of that period.

COST SHARING

These staff costs, together with all other administrative costs are regarded as part of the cost of the Project and an allowance of \$500,000 has been made for administration as part of the total Project cost. The City's share is 25% and the money has been set aside for this purpose.

RECOMMENDATIONS

It is THEREFORE RECOMMENDED that:

- 1. The proposed arrangements between C.M.H.C. for the Project Co-ordinator, and Neighbourhood Services Association for the Information Officer, be confirmed and that the Assistant Director, Civic Development as Chairman of the Strathcona Rehabilitation Committee be authorized to enter into the necessary arrangements with these organizations for the employment of these two individuals.
- 2. The position of Assistant Information Officer be established for a two-year period with the period to be reviewed at the end of the second year and with the classification to be established by the Director of Personnel Services.
- 3. The position of Secretary at the site office be established for an initial period of 2 1/2 years, with the requirements as outlined above, and with the appropriate classification to be established by the Director of Personnel Services.
- 4. The position of Social Planner be established for a 2 1/2 year period, the period to be reviewed at the end of that time and with the classification to be established by the Director of Personnel Services. "

Your Board RECOMMENDS that the report of the Director of Planning and Civic Development be approved.

FOR ADOPTION SEE PAGE(S) 294-296

Board of Administration, November 12, 1971 . . . (Licenses - 1)

LICENSES AND CLAIMS MATTERS

RECOMMENDATION

Claim No. 11570; Accident - November 20, 1970
 Brock C. Smither and Paul Ronald Tanswell

The Corporation Counsel reports as follows:

"Mr. Brock C. Smither and Mr. Paul Ronald Tanswell were involved in an automobile accident on November 20, 1970 which resulted in damage to a fire hydrant in the amount of \$686.01. Numerous attempts have been made by the Collector of Sundry Accounts and the Law Department to obtain payment of this account but they have been unsuccessful to date.

Since payment of the account has not been received, the only alternative that the City has is to commence legal action against Mr. Smither and Mr. Tanswell before November 20, 1971 in order to protect its claim.

Accordingly I recommend that authorization be given for the commencement of an action against Mr. Brock C. Smither and Mr. Paul Ronald Tanswell to recover the damages incurred by the City."

Your Board RECOMMENDS that the recommendation of the Corporation Counsel be approved.

INFORMATION

2. Licensing Regulations - Door to Door Salesmen

A communication has been received from Mr. J.J. Volrich of Gardom & Vølrich, Barristers and Solicitors, regarding the licensing of *Door to Door Salesmen* and refers to two types:-

- (i) Those who take payment only after producing the product to the satisfaction of the customer.
- (ii) Those he describes as the 'fly by night' operator who demands full payment before delivering the product at a later date. It is this type that Mr. Volrich is most concerned about and he suggests certain types such as photographers, home improvement contractors, roofing contractors and painting contractors may be the most prevalent.

He points out that protection for the public is lacking even though the Federal "Competition Act" does attempt to meet the problems by restricting misleading advertising and that the Provincial Consumer Protection Act does provide a three day cooling period which is restricted to contracts over \$50.00. He maintains the consumer involved in contracts below \$50.00 have no protection and that the Vancouver City Charter provides no meaningful enquiry or investigation before a trade license is granted.

Board of Administration, November 12, 1971 (Licenses - 2)

INFORMATION

٠

. 7.

Clause No. 2 (cont'd.)

The Director of Permits & Licenses reports as follows:-

"The Vancouver License Bylaw #4450 provides that the Chief License Inspector shall ascertain whether an applicant is a fit and proper person to hold such a license. Many years of experience has indicated which licensing classifications require special attention and one of these is the peddlar or 'door to door salesman' of the 'fly by night' variety. It is also our policy to have any applicant of this type investigated by the Police Department and by any other department concerned. The advice of the Better Business Bureau is also obtained as required. A decision is made only after receiving reports from the agencies referred to.

Mr. Volrich also lists a number of specific suggestions to improve the control of salesmen on which your officials comment:-

- (a) Bonding door to door salesmen.
 - the Corporation Counsel advises that the City has no authority in the Charter to include such a requirement in the License Bylaw, and further that bonding companies would not consider issuing a bond of this nature. This opinion is corroborated by a local bonding company.
- (b) A credit check with Retail Credit Bureau or Better Business Bureau.
 - this is carried out by both the License Office and the Police Department and any credit information source is used.
- (c) Bankruptcy checks should be made before issuing a license.
 - the License Office receives and keeps a record of Bankruptcy claims to be processed in Vancouver. To our knowledge we have seen no case involving door to door sales people.
- (d) Fraud checks should be made.
 - the License Office does not issue such a license without first receiving a satisfactory report regarding the applicant from the Police Department.
- (e) The names of applicants should be published in a daily newspaper thus giving the public the opportunity to register any complaint they may have.
 - this should not be necessary since complaints are registered with the Police Department and through that agency's report, we would receive the information before issuing the license.
- (f) Increase the penalty for operating a business without a license.
 - at the present time the maximum penalty for operating a business without a license is \$100.00. A more severe penalty might be a stronger deterrent against operating a business without a license but to increase this amount of penalty would require a change in the Vancouver Charter.

Board of Administration, November 12, 1971 (Licenses 3)

Clause No. 1 (cont'd)

- (g) A higher penalty for committing a fraud of this nature should be considered.
 - the committing of a fraud is dealt with under the Criminal Code and is handled by the City Prosecutor's Office.
- (h) The applicant for such a license, if refused, should be allowed the privilege of appealing to City Council.
 - this is provided for in the License By-law and does take place.

The percentage of 'fly by night' salesmen is small in comparison to the total number of license holders, however, every effort is made to keep this percentage to a minimum. Complaints have been few but are acted upon immediately in the manner described above."

Your Board submits the foregoing report of the Director of Permits & Licenses for the INFORMATION of Council.

(Copies of Mr. J. J. Volrich's letter dated September 21, 1971 and Better Business Bureau dated November 1, 1971 are circulated to Council for information)

DELEGATION REQUEST - BETTER BUSINESS BUREAU:
Mr. V. E. Forbes

Board of Administration, November 12, 1971 (Fire - 1)

FIRE AND TRAFFIC MATTERS

RECOMMENDATIONS

1. City Hall - Parking on Upper Driveway

The City Building Inspector reports as follows:

"The control of parking on the driveways around the City Hall is the responsibility of the Police Department since a clause in the Street & Traffic By-law makes the driveways subject to the provisions of that By-law. In spite of enforcement action by the police, it is observed that certain offenders by evasive action manage to park on the driveways for lengthy periods of time. It is believed that many of the offenders are members of the City staff who have been advised by notices from time to time that Council in 1962 passed a resolution forbidding employees to park on the upper driveway.

It is believed that it might be better to assign the responsibility for policing the parking area to the Permits & Licenses Department, who are already policing the public parking area in the East Wing. To this end it would be desirable to amend the Street & Traffic By-law by deleting the clause which makes the driveways around the City Hall subject to the provisions of the Street & Traffic By-law.

Signs indicating that parking on the driveway is for the public but not City employees, could be posted at entrances, the present two hour time limit being maintained. Exemption permits could be issued by the Department of Permits & Licenses to people who presently enjoy exemptions, e.g. members of civic boards who meet periodically at the City Hall, employees who suffer physical disability, etc. It is proposed to continue the reservation of parking stalls for the press. It is presumed that Council wish to exclude City-owned vehicles from parking on the driveway since these vehicles are already assigned to specific parking stalls.

It is recommended

- (i) That the Street & Traffic By-law be amended by deleting paragraph (a) of Section 101, being the section which makes the driveways around the City Hall subject to the provisions of the Street & Traffic By-law.
- (ii) That the City Building Inspector be responsible for administering and enforcing the policy set forth in this report.

The City Engineer and Corporation Counsel concur with this report."

Your Board RECOMMENDS that the recommendations of the City Building Inspector be adopted.

2. Request for Parade : B.C. Women's Abortion Law Repeal Coalition

The City Engineer reports as follows:

"In a communication dated November 7, 1971, the B.C. Women's Abortion Law Repeal Coalition have requested permission to hold a parade and rally in the downtown area on Saturday, November 20, 1971.

Board of Administration, November 12, 1971 (Fire 2)

Clause No. 2 Cont'd.

The proposal is as follows:

ASSEMBLY: 12:00 Noon At Thornton Park

ROUTE: 1:20 P.M.

Via Main Street, Pender Street, Columbia Street, Powell Street, Water Street, Cambie Street, Hastings Street, Granville Street, Georgia Street to the

Court House.

On the sidewalk in front of the Court 2:00 P.M. RALLY:

House.

From the Court House as individuals. DISPERSAL: 3:00 P.M.

The route is acceptable to the Police Department and there are no objections from a Transit or Traffic Engineering standpoint.

Temporary barricades and signing will not be required.

It is therefore RECOMMENDED that the B.C. Women's Abortion Law Repeal Coalition be permitted to hold the aforementioned parade on Saturday, November 20, 1971 at 1:00 P.M., provided that:

- The applicants enter into an arrangement satisfactory to 1. Corporation Counsel indemnifying the City against all possible claims that may arise from holding this parade.
- The cost of any additional street cleaning over and above 2. normal street cleaning be borne by the applicants."

Your Board RECOMMENDS that the foregoing report of the City Engineer be adopted.

FOR ADOPTION SEE PAGE(S) 293.

Request for Parade : Muscular Dystrophy 3. Committee of Firefighters Union Local No. 18

The City Engineer reports as follows:

"The Muscular Dystrophy Committee of Firefighters Union Local No. 18 have requested permission to hold a parade in the downtown area on Friday, November 26th, 1971, at 7:00 P.M.

There will be approximately 100 members in the parade, including the Firemen's Band. The route is the same as has been used in previous years and the parade will be under Police control.

Details are as follows:

ASSEMBLY: No. 1 Fire Hall, 729 Hamilton Street.

ROUTE: West on Georgia Street to Granville Street North on Granville Street to Hastings Street East on Hastings Street to Abbott Street

DISPERSAL: Participants in parade merely break ranks and merge with pedestrians on the sidewalk.

No barricades or temporary signing will be required.

Board of Administration, November 12, 1971 (Fire 3)

Clause No. 3 Cont'd.

This group has been co-operative in previous years and keeps to one side of the street. The Police and Transit Authority agree that this parade can be accommodated without undue disruption of traffic or transit services.

Accordingly, it is RECOMMENDED that the Firefighters Union be permitted to hold a parade on November 26th, 1971, as detailed above provided that:

- 1. The applicant enter into an arrangement with the Corporation Counsel indemnifying the City against all possible claims that may arise from holding this parade.
- 2. The cost of street cleaning over and above normal street cleaning be borne by the applicant."

Your Board RECOMMENDS the foregoing report of the City Engineer be adopted.

Board of Administration, November 12, 1971 . . . (FINANCE - 1)

FINANCE MATTERS

RECOMMENDATIONS

- 1. Sinking Fund and Investment Matters September, 1971

 - (a) Security transactions during the month of September, 1971(b) Summary of Secruities held by the General and Capital Accounts as at September 30, 1971.

(a)	GENERAL	AND	CAPITAL	ACCOUNT	TRANSACTIONS

		Maturity	Maturity	_	Term	Yield
Date	Type of Security	Date	Value	Cost	Days	_7
	Bank Deposit Receipts Purcha	ised for Kedemp	tion in Septemb	per, 1971		
Sept. 3	Bank of Montreal	Sept. 7/71	\$ 500,191.78	\$ 500,000.00	4	3.50
7	Toronto Dominion Bank	Sept. 15/71		1,500,000.00	8	4.38
7	Toronto Dominion Bank	Sept. 27/71	•	1,000,000.00	20	4.42
7	Bank of Montreal	Sept. 8/71	500.051.37	500,000.00	1	3.75
15	Toronto Dominion Bank	Sept. 22/71		1,500,000.00	7	4.30
15	Toronto Dominion Bank	Sept. 21/71	,- ,	500,000.00	6	4.30
15	Bank of B.C.	Sept. 17/71		500,000.00	2	4.25
21	Mercantile Bank of Canada	Sept. 23/71		300,000.00	2	4.50
21	Mercantile Bank of Canada	Sept. 24/71		400,000.00	3	4.50
21	Mercantile Bank of Canada	Sept. 27/71		1,300,000.00	6	4.50
22	Bank of Montreal	Sept. 27/71		600,000.00	5	3.75
22	Bank of Montreal	Sept. 27/71	1,500,924.66	1,500,000.00	5	4.50
		-	\$10,108,228.36	\$10,100,000.00		
		•				
	Bank Deposit Receipts Purcha	sed for Redemp	tion in 1971 af	ter September 30	, 1971	
•						
Sept.27	Toronto Dominion Bank	Oct. 15/71	\$1,002,391.78	\$1,000,000.00	18	4.85
27	Bank of B.C.	Nov. 1/71	1,004,842.47	1,000,000.00	35	5.05
27	Bank of B.C.	Oct. 4/71	1,501,366.44	1,500,000.00		
27	5.1 656		-,500,5000	1,300,000.00	7	4.75
	Bank of B.C.	Oct. 4/71	700,610.82	700,000.00	7 7	4.75 4.55
30	Mercantile Bank of Canada	Oct. 4/71 Oct. 5/71	700,610.82 700,455.48		-	. –
		• • -	700,610.82	700,000.00	7	4.55
		• • -	700,610.82 700,455.48	700,000.00	7	4.55
		Oct. 5/71	700,610.82 700,455.48 \$4,909,666.99	700,000.00	7	4.55
	Mercantile Bank of Canada	Oct. 5/71	700,610.82 700,455.48 \$4,909,666.99 tion in 1972	700,000.00	7	4.55
30	Mercantile Bank of Canada Bank Deposit Receipts Purcha	Oct. 5/71	700,610.82 700,455.48 \$4,909,666.99	700,000.00 700,000.00 \$-,900,000.00	7 5	4.55 4.75
30 Sept. 1	Mercantile Bank of Canada Bank Deposit Receipts Purcha Bank of B.C.	Oct. 5/71 sed for Redemp Jan. 14/72	700,610.82 700,455.48 \$4,909,666.99 tion in 1972 \$1,529,237.67 1,835,728.77	700,000.00 700,000.00 \$4,900,000.00 \$1,500,000.00 1,800,000.00	7 5 135	4.55 4.75
30 Sept. 1 15	Mercantile Bank of Canada Bank Deposit Receipts Purcha Bank of B.C. Bank of B.C.	Oct. 5/71 sed for Redemp Jan. 14/72 Jan. 31/72	700,610.82 700,455.48 \$4,909,666.99 tion in 1972 \$1,529,237.67	700,000.00 700,000.00 \$4,900,000.00 \$1,500,000.00	7 5 135 138	4.55 4.75 5.27 5.25

DEBT CHARGES EQUALIZATION FUND TRANSACTIONS

<u>Date</u>		Type of Security Debentures Purchased	Maturity	Maturity Value	Price	Cost	Term Yrs/Mo	Yield s 7
Sept.	10	City of Van. 5%	May 1/78 \$	8,000,00	\$ 85 .25 \$	6,820.00	6/8	7.90
Jup C.		P.G.E. Rlwy. Parity	ay 1770 ¥	0,000,00	¥ 63.23 ¥	0,020.00	0,0	,.,0
		65%	Sept.15/76	834,000.00	100.00	834,000.00	5/0	6.50
	15	P.G.E. Rlwy. Parity	• • •	,		,		
		65%	Sept.15/76	200,000.00	100.55	201,100.00	5/0	6.37
	15	City of Van. 51%	Mar. 1				0/6	to
			72 / 79	21,000.00	92.94	19,517.40	7/6	7.50
	15	City of Van. 51%	Mar. 1				1/6	to
			73/74	2,000.00	96.725	1,934.50		7.30
	15	City of Van. 51%	Mar. 1				3/6 (
		-1-	75/76	2,000.00	93.225	1,864.50		7.50
	15	City of Van. 5½%	Mar. 1	_		_	5/6 (
			77 / 78	12,000,00	90.05	10,806.00	6/6	7.60
	15	City of Van. 5 3/4%	Oct. 15/77	10,000.00	91.20	9,120.00	6/1	7.58
	15	City of Van. 43%	Jan. 15				3/4 (to
			75 / 76	4,000.00	90.20	3,608.00	4/4	7.50
	15	City of Van. 4½%	Jan. 15				5/4 t	:o ·
			77 /7 8	4,000.00	85.62 5	3,425.00	6/4	7.60
	16	City of Van. 55%	Mar. 1/72	2,000.00	99.27	1,985.40	0/5	7.13

Board of Administration, November 12, 1971 . . . (FINANCE - 2)

RECOMMENDATIONS

Clause No. 1 (cont'd.)

Naturity Date Maturity Naturity Price Cost Term Yield Yalue Price Cost Yrs/Mos X X X X X X X X X	ŧ	(CONT'D) DEBT CHA	ARGES EQUALIZA	TION FUND TRAI	SACTIONS	e grandet gegra de t		(後後) (本) (本) (本) (本) (本) (本) (本) (本) (本)
Date Type of Security Date Value Price Cost Yrs/Mos Z				Maturity	Maturity			Term	Yield
73/74 \$ 4,000.00 \$ 96.78 \$ 3,871.20 2/5 7.30 3/5 to 75/76 4,000.00 93.27 3,730.80 4/5 7.50 5/5 to 75/76 4,000.00 89.467 5,368.00 7/5 7.60 16 Greater Van. Sewer & Drain. Dist. 5½% Dec. 2/77 1,000.00 88.875 888.75 6/3 7.80 16 Gtr. Van. Water Dist. 5½% Dec. 1/74 25,000.00 94.23 23,557.50 3/2 7.30 16 Gtr. Van. Water Dist. 5½% Dec. 1/76 25,000.00 90.44 22,610.00 5/2 7.50 1/4 to 73/74 4,000.00 95.295 3,811.80 2/4 7.30 1/4 to 73/74 4,000.00 95.295 3,811.80 2/4 7.50 1/4 to 73/74 1/5 1/5 1/5 1/5 1/5 1/5 1/5 1/5 1/5 1/5	Date		Type of Security	Date	Value	Price	Cost	Yrs/Mos	<u>7.</u>
73/74 \$ 4,000.00 \$ 96.78 \$ 3,871.20 2/5 7.30	Sept.	16	City of Van. 53%	Mar. 1				1/5 to	
16 City of Van. 5½% Mar. 1 75/76 4,000.00 93.27 3,730.80 4/5 7.50 16 City of Van. Sewer & Drain. Dist. 5½% Dec. 2/77 1,000.00 88.875 888.75 6/3 7.80 16 Gtr. Van. Water Dist. 5½% Dec. 1/74 25,000.00 94.23 23,557.50 3/2 7.30 16 City of Van. 4½% Jan. 15 73/74 4,000.00 95.295 3,811.80 2/4 7.30 16 City of Van. 4½% Jan. 15 75/76 4,000.00 90.18 3,607.20 4/4 7.30 16 City of Van. 4½% Jan. 15 75/76 4,000.00 90.18 3,607.20 4/4 7.50 17 Gtr. Van. Water Dist. 17 Gtr. Van. Water Dist. 17 City of Van. 5½% Nov. 15/76 10,000.00 91.56 9,156.00 5/2 7.50 17 City of Van. 5½% Nov. 15/76 10,000.00 91.56 9,156.00 5/2 7.50 18 City of Van. 3½% Apr. 15/75 20,000.00 98.35 1,967.00 6/6 6.90 19 City of Van. 3 3/4% Apr. 1/72 2,000.00 98.35 1,967.00 0/6 6.90 22 City of Van. 3 3/4% Apr. 1/72 2,000.00 98.35 1,967.00 0/6 6.90 22 City of Van. 3 3/4% Apr. 1/72 2,000.00 98.35 1,967.00 0/6 7.20 23 City of Van. 5 3/4% Oct. 15/77 150,000.00 91.58 137,370.00 6/1 7.50 24 City of Van. 5 3/4% Oct. 15/77 150,000.00 91.58 137,370.00 6/1 7.50 23 City of Van. 5 3/4% Oct. 15/77 150,000.00 91.58 137,370.00 6/1 7.50 23 City of Van. 5 3/4% Oct. 15/77 150,000.00 91.58 137,370.00 6/1 7.50 24 City of Van. 5 3/4% Oct. 15/77 150,000.00 91.58 137,370.00 6/1 7.50 25 City of Van. 5 3/4% Oct. 15/77 150,000.00 91.58 137,370.00 6/1 7.50 24 City of Van. 5 3/4% Oct. 15/77 150,000.00 92.48 10,172.80 4/5 7.50 25 City of Van. 5 3/4% Oct. 15/77 150,000.00 92.48 10,172.80 4/5 7.50 25 City of Van. 5 3/4% Oct. 15/77 150,000.00 92.48 10,172.80 4/5 7.50 26 City of Van. 5 3/4% Oct. 15/77 150,000.00 92.48 10,172.80 4/5 7.50 25 City of Van. 5 3/4% Oct. 15/77 150,000.00 92.48 10,172.80 4/5 7.50 26 City of Van. 5 3/4% Oct. 15/77 150,000.00 92.48 10,172.80 4/5 7.50 26 City of Van. 5 3/4% Oct. 15/77 150,000.00 92.48 10,172.80 4/5 7.50 27 City of Van. 5 3/4% Oct. 15/77 150,000.00 92.48 10,172.80 4/5 7.50 26 City of Van. 5 3/4% Oct. 15/77 150,000.00 92.48 10,172.80 4/5 7.50 27 City of Van. 5 3/4% Oct. 15/77 150,000.00 92.48 10,172.80 4/5 7.50 27 City of Van. 5 3/4% Oct. 15/77 150,000.00 92.48 1			-	73/74	4,000.00	\$ 96.78	\$ 3,871.20	2/5	7.30
75/76 4,000.00 93.27 3,730.80 4/5 7.50 5/5 to 77/79 6,000.00 89.467 5,368.00 7/5 7.60 16 Greater Van. Sewer & Drain. Dist. 5½% Dec. 2/77 1,000.00 82.875 888.75 6/3 7.80 16 Gtr. Van. Water Dist. 5½% Dec. 1/74 25,000.00 94.23 23,557.50 3/2 7.30 16 Gtr. Van. Water Dist. 5½% Dec. 1/76 25,000.00 94.23 23,557.50 3/2 7.30 16 Gity of Van. 4½% Jan. 15 73/74 4,000.00 95.295 3,811.80 2/4 7.30 16 City of Van. 4½% Jan. 15 75/76 4,000.00 90.18 3,607.20 4/4 7.50 16 City of Van. 4½% Jan. 15 75/76 4,000.00 90.18 3,607.20 4/4 7.50 17 Gtr. Van. Water Dist. July 2 72/78 136,000.00 100.32 135,435.20 6/9 7.40 17 City of Van. 3½% Apr. 15/75 20,000.00 91.56 9,156.00 5/2 7.50 17 City of Van. 3½% Apr. 15/75 20,000.00 98.35 1,967.00 6/1 7.53 22 City of Van. 3 3/4% Apr. 1/72 2,000.00 98.35 1,967.00 0/6 6.90 22 City of Van. 3 3/4% Apr. 1/72 2,000.00 98.35 1,967.00 0/6 6.90 22 City of Van. 3 3/4% Apr. 1/72 2,000.00 90.03 5,401.80 6/2 7.55 23 City of Van. 5 3/4% Oct. 15/77 150,000.00 91.58 137,370.00 6/1 7.50 23 City of Van. 5 3/4% Oct. 15/77 150,000.00 91.58 137,370.00 6/1 7.50 23 City of Van. 5 3/4% Oct. 15/77 150,000.00 91.58 137,370.00 6/1 7.50 23 City of Van. 5 3/4% Oct. 15/77 150,000.00 91.58 137,370.00 6/1 7.50 23 City of Van. 5 3/4% Oct. 15/77 150,000.00 91.58 137,370.00 6/1 7.50 23 City of Van. 5 3/4% Oct. 15/77 150,000.00 91.58 137,370.00 6/1 7.50 23 City of Van. 5 3/4% Oct. 15/77 150,000.00 91.58 137,370.00 6/1 7.50 23 City of Van. 5 3/4% Oct. 15/77 150,000.00 91.58 137,370.00 6/1 7.50 23 City of Van. 5 3/4% Oct. 15/77 150,000.00 92.48 10,172.80 4/5 7.50 23 City of Van. 5 3/4% Mar. 1/76 11,000.00 92.48 10,172.80 4/5 7.50 24 City of Van. 5 3/4 Mar. 1/76 11,000.00 93.75 937.50 3/5 7.60 24 City of Van. 5 3/4 Mar. 1/75 1,000.00 93.75 937.50 3/5 7.60 24 City of Van. 5 3/4 Mar. 1/75 1,000.00 93.75 937.50 3/5 7.60 24 City of Van. 5 3/4 Mar. 1/75 1,000.00 93.50 13,500.00 4/7 7.45		16	City of Van. 5½%	Mar. 1	-			3/5 to	
16 Greater Van. Sewer & Drain. Dist. 5½% Dec. 2/77 1,000.00 88.875 888.75 6/3 7.80 16 Gtr. Van. Water Dist. 5½% Dec. 1/74 25,000.00 94.23 23,557.50 3/2 7.30 16 Gtr. Van. Water Dist. 5½% Dec. 1/76 25,000.00 90.44 22,610.00 5/2 7.50 16 City of Van. 4½% Jan. 15 1/4 to 73/74 4,000.00 95.295 3,811.80 2/4 7.30 16 City of Van. 4½% Jan. 15 5/4 to 75/76 4,000.00 90.18 3,607.20 4/4 7.50 5/4 to 77/79 6,000.00 84.687 5,081.20 7/4 7.60 17 Gtr. Van. Water Dist. July 2 0/9 to 7½% Apr. 15/75 20,000.00 91.56 9,156.00 5/2 7.50 17 City of Van. 3½% Apr. 15/75 20,000.00 91.56 9,156.00 5/2 7.50 17 City of Van. 3½% Apr. 15/75 20,000.00 91.50 18,300.00 6/1 7.53 22 City of Van. 3 3/4% Apr. 1/72 2,000.00 91.50 18,300.00 6/1 7.53 22 City of Van. 3 3/4% Apr. 1/72 2,000.00 91.50 18,300.00 6/1 7.53 22 City of Van. 3 3/4% Apr. 1/72 2,000.00 98.35 1,967.00 0/6 6.90 22 City of Van. 3 3/4% Apr. 1/72 2,000.00 99.55 3,802.00 1/6 7.20 22 City of Van. 3 3/4% Apr. 1/73 4,000.00 90.35 3,401.80 6/2 7.55 23 City of Van. 5 3/4% Oct. 15/77 150,000.00 90.35 3,401.80 6/2 7.55 23 City of Van. 5 3/4% Oct. 15/77 150,000.00 90.35 3,401.80 6/2 7.55 23 City of Van. 5 3/4% Oct. 15/77 150,000.00 90.35 3,401.80 6/2 7.55 23 City of Van. 5 3/4% Oct. 15/77 150,000.00 91.58 137,370.00 6/1 7.50 24 City of Van. 5 5% Mar. 1/76 11,000.00 92.48 10,172.80 6/9 7.40 23 City of Van. 5 5% Mar. 1/75 1,000.00 92.48 10,172.80 6/9 7.40 24 City of Van. 5 5% Mar. 1/75 1,000.00 93.75 937.50 3/5 7.60 24 City of Van. 5 5% Mar. 1/75 1,000.00 93.75 937.50 3/5 7.60 25 City of Van. 5 5% Mar. 1/75 1,000.00 93.75 937.50 3/5 7.60	:		•	75 / 76	4,000.00	93.27	3,730.80	4/5	7.50
16 Greater Van. Sewer & Drain. Dist. 5½% Dec. 2/77 1,000.00 88.875 888.75 6/3 7.80 16 Gtr. Van. Water Dist. 5½% Dec. 1/74 25,000.00 94.23 23,557.50 3/2 7.30 16 Gtr. Van. Water Dist. 5½% Dec. 1/76 25,000.00 90.44 22,610.00 5/2 7.50 16 City of Van. 4½% Jan. 15 73/74 4,000.00 95.295 3,811.80 2/4 7.30 16 City of Van. 4½% Jan. 15 3/4 to 75/76 4,000.00 90.18 3,607.20 4/4 7.50 16 City of Van. 4½% Jan. 15 5/4 to 77/79 6,000.00 84.687 5,081.20 7/4 7.60 17 Gtr. Van. Water Dist. July 2 72/78 136,000.00 100.32 136,435.20 6/9 7.40 17 City of Van. 5½% Apr. 15/75 20,000.00 91.50 18,300.00 6/1 7.53 22 City of Van. 3 3/4% Apr. 1/72 2,000.00 98.35 1,967.00 0/6 6.90 22 City of Van. 3 3/4% Apr. 1/72 2,000.00 98.35 1,967.00 0/6 6.90 22 City of Van. 3 3/4% Apr. 1/73 4,000.00 91.50 18,300.00 4/10 7.50 22 City of Van. 3 3/4% Apr. 1/73 4,000.00 91.50 18,300.00 6/1 7.53 23 City of Van. 5½% Nov. 15/77 6,000.00 91.50 18,300.00 4/10 7.50 24 City of Van. 5½% Nov. 15/77 6,000.00 91.58 137,370.00 6/1 7.55 23 City of Van. 5½% Nov. 15/77 6,000.00 91.58 137,370.00 6/1 7.50 24 City of Van. 5½% Mar. 1/76 11,000.00 92.48 10,172.80 4/5 7.50 25 City of Van. 5½% Mar. 1/76 11,000.00 92.48 10,172.80 4/5 7.50 24 City of Van. 5½% Mar. 1/75 1,000.00 93.75 937.50 3/5 7.60 24 City of Van. 5½% Mar. 1/75 1,000.00 93.75 937.50 3/5 7.60 25 City of Van. 5½% Mar. 1/75 1,000.00 93.75 937.50 3/5 7.60 26 City of Van. 5½% Mar. 1/75 1,000.00 93.75 937.50 3/5 7.60		16	City of Van. 5½%	Mar. 1					
8 Drain. Dist. 5½% Dec. 2/77 1,000.00 88.875 888.75 6/3 7.80 16 Gtr. Van. Water Dist. 5½% Dec. 1/74 25,000.00 94.23 23,557.50 3/2 7.30 16 Gtr. Van. Water Dist. 5½% Dec. 1/76 25,000.00 90.44 22,610.00 5/2 7.50 16 City of Van. 4½% Jan. 15 73/74 4,000.00 95.295 3,811.80 2/4 7.30 16 City of Van. 4½% Jan. 15 75/76 4,000.00 90.18 3,607.20 4/4 7.50 16 City of Van. 4½% Jan. 15 77/79 6,000.00 90.18 3,607.20 4/4 7.50 17 Gtr. Van. Water Dist. July 2 72/78 136,000.00 100.32 136,435.20 6/9 7.40 17 City of Van. 5½% Apr. 15/75 20,000.00 91.56 9,156.00 5/2 7.50 17 City of Van. 3½% Apr. 15/75 20,000.00 91.50 18,300.00 6/1 7.53 22 City of Van. 3 3/4% Apr. 1/72 2,000.00 98.35 1,967.00 0/6 6.90 22 City of Van. 3 3/4% Apr. 1/72 2,000.00 95.05 3,802.00 1/6 7.20 22 City of Van. 3 3/4% Apr. 1/73 4,000.00 95.05 3,802.00 1/6 7.20 22 City of Van. 3 3/4% Apr. 1/73 4,000.00 95.05 3,802.00 1/6 7.20 22 City of Van. 3 3/4% Apr. 1/73 4,000.00 90.03 5,401.80 6/2 7.55 23 City of Van. 5½% Nov. 15/77 6,000.00 90.03 5,401.80 6/2 7.55 23 City of Van. 5½% Nov. 15/77 6,000.00 90.03 5,401.80 6/2 7.55 23 City of Van. 5½% Nov. 15/77 150,000.00 91.58 137,370.00 6/1 7.50 24 City of Van. 5½% Mar. 1/76 11,000.00 92.48 10,172.80 4/5 7.50 24 City of Van. 5½% Mar. 1/75 11,000.00 93.75 937.50 3/5 7.60 24 City of Van. 5½% Mar. 1/75 1,000.00 93.75 937.50 3/5 7.60 24 City of Van. 5½% Mar. 1/75 1,000.00 93.75 937.50 3/5 7.60			•	77 / 79	6,000.00	89.467	5,368.00	7/5	7.60
16 Gtr. Van. Water Dist.		16	Greater Van. Sewer						
5½% Dec. 1/74 25,000.00 94.23 23,557.50 3/2 7.30 16 Gtr. Van. Water Dist. 5½% Dec. 1/76 25,000.00 90.44 22,610.00 5/2 7.50 16 City of Van. 4½% Jan. 15 73/74 4,000.00 95.295 3,811.80 2/4 7.30 16 City of Van. 4½% Jan. 15 75/76 4,000.00 90.18 3,607.20 4/4 7.50 16 City of Van. 4½% Jan. 15 75/76 4,000.00 90.18 3,607.20 4/4 7.50 16 City of Van. 4½% Jan. 15 77/79 6,000.00 84.687 5,081.20 7/4 7.60 17 Gtr. Van. Water Dist. July 2 7½% Nov. 15/76 10,000.00 100.32 136,435.20 6/9 7.40 17 City of Van. 5½% Apr. 15/75 20,000.00 87.63 17,526.00 3/7 7.50 22 City of Van. 3 3/4% Apr. 15/75 20,000.00 91.56 9,156.00 5/2 7.50 22 City of Van. 3 3/4% Apr. 1/72 2,000.00 98.35 1,967.00 0/6 6.90 22 City of Van. 3 3/4% Apr. 1/73 4,000.00 95.05 3,802.00 1/6 7.20 22 City of Van. 3 3/4% Apr. 1/73 4,000.00 95.05 3,802.00 1/6 7.20 22 City of Van. 5 3/4% Oct. 15/77 150,000.00 91.58 137,370.00 6/1 7.55 23 City of Van. 5 3/4% Oct. 15/77 150,000.00 91.58 137,370.00 6/1 7.50 24 City of Van. 5 3/4% Oct. 15/77 150,000.00 91.58 137,370.00 6/1 7.50 25 City of Van. 5 3/4% Oct. 15/77 150,000.00 91.58 137,370.00 6/1 7.50 26 City of Van. 5 3/4% Oct. 15/77 150,000.00 91.58 137,370.00 6/1 7.50 27 City of Van. 5 3/4% Oct. 15/77 150,000.00 91.58 137,370.00 6/1 7.50 25 City of Van. 5 3/4% Oct. 15/77 150,000.00 92.48 10,172.80 4/5 7.50 26 City of Van. 55% Mar. 1/76 11,000.00 92.48 10,172.80 4/5 7.50 27 City of Van. 5% May 1/78 100,000.00 86.66 86,660.00 6/7 7.60 24 City of Van. 5% May 1/78 100,000.00 93.75 937.50 3/5 7.60 24 City of Van. 5% Mar. 1/76 10,000.00 93.75 937.50 3/5 7.60	i		& Drain. Dist. 5½%	Dec. 2/77	1,000.00	82.875	888.75	6/3	7.80
16 Gtr. Van. Water Dist. 5\frac{7}{16}	!	16	Gtr. Van. Water Dist.					_	
5½% Dec. 1/76 25,000.00 90.44 22,610.00 5/2 7.50 1/4 to 73/74 4,000.00 95.295 3,811.80 2/4 7.30 3/4 to 75/76 4,000.00 90.18 3,607.20 4/4 7.50 16 City of Van. 4½% Jan. 15 75/76 4,000.00 90.18 3,607.20 4/4 7.50 5/4 to 77/79 6,000.00 84.687 5,081.20 7/4 7.60 17 Gtr. Van. Water Dist. July 2 7½% 72/78 136,000.00 100.32 136,435.20 6/9 7.40 17 City of Van. 5½% Nov. 15/76 10,000.00 91.56 9,156.00 5/2 7.50 17 City of Van. 3½% Apr. 15/75 20,000.00 87.63 17,526.00 3/7 7.50 22 City of Van. 3 3/4% Apr. 1/72 2,000.00 98.35 1,967.00 0/6 6.90 22 City of Van. 3 3/4% Apr. 1/72 2,000.00 98.35 1,967.00 0/6 6.90 22 City of Van. 3 3/4% Apr. 1/73 4,000.00 95.05 3,802.00 1/6 7.20 3/10 to 75/76 40,000.00 90.03 5,401.80 6/2 7.55 23 City of Van. 5 3/4% Oct. 15/77 150,000.00 90.03 5,401.80 6/2 7.55 23 City of Van. 5 3/4% Oct. 15/77 150,000.00 91.58 137,370.00 6/1 7.50 23 Gtr. Van. Water Dist. July 2 72/78 44,000.00 92.48 10,172.80 4/5 7.50 24 City of Van. 5% May 1/78 100,000.00 92.48 10,172.80 4/5 7.50 24 City of Van. 5% May 1/78 100,000.00 93.75 937.50 3/5 7.60 24 City of Van. 5% Apr. 1/75 1,000.00 93.75 937.50 3/5 7.60 24 City of Van. 5% Apr. 1/75 20,000.00 93.75 937.50 3/5 7.60 24 City of Van. 5% Apr. 1/75 20,000.00 92.50 13,500.00 4/7 7.45	1			Dec. 1/74	25,000.00	94.23	23,557.50	3/2	7.30
16 City of Van. 4½% Jan. 15 73/74 4,000.00 95.295 3,811.80 2/4 7.30 16 City of Van. 4½% Jan. 15 75/76 4,000.00 90.18 3,607.20 4/4 7.50 16 City of Van. 4½% Jan. 15 77/79 6,000.00 84.687 5,081.20 7/4 7.60 17 Gtr. Van. Water Dist. July 2 7½% 72/78 136,000.00 100.32 135,435.20 6/9 7.40 17 City of Van. 5½% Nov. 15/76 10,000.00 91.56 9,156.00 5/2 7.50 17 City of Van. 3½% Apr. 15/75 20,000.00 87.63 17,526.00 3/7 7.50 22 City of Van. 5 3/4% Oct. 15/77 20,000.00 91.50 18,300.00 6/1 7.53 22 City of Van. 3 3/4% Apr. 1/72 2,000.00 98.35 1,967.00 0/6 6.90 22 City of Van. 3 3/4% Apr. 1/72 2,000.00 95.05 3,802.00 1/6 7.20 22 City of Van. 3 3/4% Apr. 1/73 4,000.00 95.05 3,802.00 1/6 7.20 22 City of Van. 3 3/4% Aug. 1 75/76 40,000.00 86.25 34,500.00 4/10 7.50 22 City of Van. 5 3/4% Oct. 15/77 6,000.00 90.03 5,401.80 6/2 7.55 23 City of Van. 5 3/4% Oct. 15/77 150,000.00 91.58 137,370.00 6/1 7.50 23 City of Van. 5 3/4% Oct. 15/77 150,000.00 91.58 137,370.00 6/1 7.50 23 City of Van. 5 5½% Mov. 15/76 11,000.00 92.48 10,172.80 4/5 7.50 23 City of Van. 5½% Mar. 1/76 11,000.00 92.48 10,172.80 4/5 7.50 24 City of Van. 5½% Mar. 1/76 11,000.00 93.75 937.50 3/5 7.60 24 City of Van. 5½% Mar. 1/75 1,000.00 93.75 937.50 3/5 7.60 24 City of Van. 5½% Mar. 1/75 1,000.00 93.75 937.50 3/5 7.60	i	16							
73/74 4,000.00 95.295 3,811.80 2/4 7.30 3/4 to 75/76 4,000.00 90.18 3,607.20 4/4 7.50 5/4 to 77/79 6,000.00 84.687 5,081.20 7/4 7.60 0/9 to 7½% 72/78 136,000.00 91.56 9,156.00 5/2 7.50 17 City of Van. 5½% Apr. 15/75 20,000.00 91.56 9,156.00 5/2 7.50 17 City of Van. 5 3/4% Oct. 15/77 20,000.00 91.50 18,300.00 6/1 7.53 22 City of Van. 3 3/4% Apr. 1/72 2,000.00 91.50 18,300.00 6/1 7.53 22 City of Van. 3 3/4% Apr. 1/72 2,000.00 95.05 3,802.00 1/6 7.20 22 City of Van. 3 3/4% Apr. 1/73 4,000.00 95.05 3,802.00 1/6 7.20 22 City of Van. 3 3/4% Apr. 1/73 4,000.00 95.05 3,802.00 1/6 7.20 22 City of Van. 3 3/4% Apr. 1/73 6,000.00 95.05 3,802.00 1/6 7.20 22 City of Van. 5 3/4% Oct. 15/77 6,000.00 90.03 5,401.80 6/2 7.55 23 City of Van. 5 3/4% Oct. 15/77 150,000.00 91.58 137,370.00 6/1 7.50 23 City of Van. 5 3/4% Oct. 15/77 150,000.00 91.58 137,370.00 6/1 7.50 23 City of Van. 5 3/4% Oct. 15/77 150,000.00 91.58 137,370.00 6/1 7.50 23 City of Van. 5 3/4% Oct. 15/77 150,000.00 91.58 10,172.80 4/5 7.50 23 City of Van. 5½% Mar. 1/76 11,000.00 92.48 10,172.80 4/5 7.50 23 City of Van. 5½% Mar. 1/76 11,000.00 92.48 10,172.80 4/5 7.50 24 City of Van. 5½% Mar. 1/76 11,000.00 93.75 937.50 3/5 7.60 24 City of Van. 5½% Mar. 1/76 10,000.00 93.75 937.50 3/5 7.60 24 City of Van. 5½% Mar. 1/76 10,000.00 93.75 937.50 3/5 7.60	i		5 ኒ %		25,000.00	90.44	22,610.00		7.50
16 City of Van. 4½% Jan. 15 75/76 4,000.00 90.18 3,607.20 4/4 7.50 16 City of Van. 4½% Jan. 15 77/79 6,000.00 84.687 5,081.20 7/4 7.60 17 Gtr. Van. Water Dist. July 2 7½% 72/78 136,000.00 100.32 136,435.20 6/9 7.40 17 City of Van. 5½% Nov. 15/76 10,000.00 91.56 9,156.00 5/2 7.50 17 City of Van. 3½% Apr. 15/75 20,000.00 87.63 17,526.00 3/7 7.50 22 City of Van. 5 3/4% Oct. 15/77 20,000.00 91.50 18,300.00 6/1 7.53 22 City of Van. 3 3/4% Apr. 1/72 2,000.00 98.35 1,967.00 0/6 6.90 22 City of Van. 3 3/4% Apr. 1/72 2,000.00 95.05 3,802.00 1/6 7.20 22 City of Van. 3 3/4% Apr. 1/73 4,000.00 95.05 3,802.00 1/6 7.20 22 City of Van. 3 3/4% Aug. 1 75/76 40,000.00 90.03 5,401.80 6/2 7.55 23 City of Van. 5 5½% Nov. 15/77 6,000.00 90.03 5,401.80 6/2 7.55 23 City of Van. 5 5½% Nov. 15/77 150,000.00 91.58 137,370.00 6/1 7.50 23 City of Van. 5½% Mar. 1/76 11,000.00 92.48 10,172.80 4/5 7.50 23 City of Van. 5½% Mar. 1/76 11,000.00 92.48 10,172.80 4/5 7.50 24 City of Van. 5½% Mar. 1/75 1,000.00 93.75 937.50 3/5 7.60 24 City of Van. 5½% Mar. 1/75 1,000.00 93.75 937.50 3/5 7.60 24 City Van. Water Dist. 5½% Apr. 15/76 20,000.00 92.50 18,500.00 4/7 7.45		16	City of Van. 4½%						
75/76 4,000.00 90.18 3,607.20 4/4 7.50 16 City of Van. 4½% Jan. 15 77/79 6,000.00 84.687 5,081.20 7/4 7.60 17 Gtr. Van. Water Dist. July 2 7½% 72/78 136,000.00 100.32 135,435.20 6/9 7.40 17 City of Van. 5½% Nov. 15/76 10,000.00 91.56 9,156.00 5/2 7.50 17 City of Van. 3½% Apr. 15/75 20,000.00 87.63 17,526.00 3/7 7.50 22 City of Van. 5 3/4% Oct. 15/77 20,000.00 91.50 18,300.00 6/1 7.53 22 City of Van. 3 3/4% Apr. 1/72 2,000.00 98.35 1,967.00 0/6 6.90 22 City of Van. 3 3/4% Apr. 1/73 4,000.00 95.05 3,802.00 1/6 7.20 22 City of Van. 3 3/4% Apr. 1/73 4,000.00 95.05 3,802.00 1/6 7.20 22 City of Van. 3 3/4% Apr. 1/73 6,000.00 90.03 5,401.80 6/2 7.55 23 City of Van. 5½% Nov. 15/77 6,000.00 90.03 5,401.80 6/2 7.55 23 City of Van. 5½% Nov. 15/77 150,000.00 91.58 137,370.00 6/1 7.50 23 City of Van. 5½% Mar. 1/76 11,000.00 92.48 10,172.80 4/5 7.50 24 City of Van. 5½% May 1/78 100,000.00 93.75 937.50 3/5 7.60 24 City of Van. 5½% Mar. 1/75 1,000.00 93.75 937.50 3/5 7.60 25 City of Van. 5½% Mar. 1/75 1,000.00 93.75 937.50 3/5 7.60					4,000.00	95.295	3,811.80		7.30
16 City of Van. 4½% Jan. 15 77/79 6,000.00 84.687 5,081.20 7/4 7.60 17 Gtr. Van. Water Dist. 7½% 72/78 136,000.00 100.32 136,435.20 6/9 7.40 17 City of Van. 5½% Nov. 15/76 10,000.00 91.56 9,156.00 5/2 7.50 17 City of Van. 3½% Apr. 15/75 20,000.00 87.63 17,526.00 3/7 7.50 22 City of Van. 5 3/4% Oct. 15/77 20,000.00 91.50 18,300.00 6/1 7.53 22 City of Van. 3 3/4% Apr. 1/72 2,000.00 98.35 1,967.00 0/6 6.90 22 City of Van. 3 3/4% Apr. 1/73 4,000.00 95.05 3,802.00 1/6 7.20 22 City of Van. 3 3/4% Aug. 1 75/76 40,000.00 86.25 34,500.00 4/10 7.50 22 City of Van. 5½% Nov. 15/77 6,000.00 90.03 5,401.80 6/2 7.55 23 City of Van. 5½% Nov. 15/77 150,000.00 91.58 137,370.00 6/1 7.50 23 City of Van. 5½% Mar. 1/76 11,000.00 92.48 10,172.80 4/5 7.50 24 City of Van. 5½% Mar. 1/76 11,000.00 93.75 937.50 3/5 7.60 24 City of Van. 5½% Mar. 1/75 1,000.00 93.75 937.50 3/5 7.60 24 City Van. Water Dist. 5½% Apr. 15/76 20,000.00 92.50 18,500.00 4/7 7.45		16	City of Van. 4½%						
77/79 6,000.00 84.687 5,081.20 7/4 7.60 17 Gtr. Van. Water Dist. July 2 7½% 72/78 136,000.00 100.32 136,435.20 6/9 7.40 17 City of Van. 5½% Nov. 15/76 10,000.00 91.56 9,156.00 5/2 7.50 17 City of Van. 3½% Apr. 15/75 20,000.00 87.63 17,526.00 3/7 7.50 22 City of Van. 3 3/4% Apr. 1/72 2,000.00 91.50 18,300.00 6/1 7.53 22 City of Van. 3 3/4% Apr. 1/72 2,000.00 98.35 1,967.00 0/6 6.90 22 City of Van. 3 3/4% Apr. 1/73 4,000.00 95.05 3,802.00 1/6 7.20 22 City of Van. 3 3/4% Aug. 1 75/76 40,000.00 86.25 34,500.00 4/10 7.50 22 City of Van. 5½% Nov. 15/77 6,000.00 90.03 5,401.80 6/2 7.55 23 City of Van. 5 3/4% Oct. 15/77 150,000.00 91.58 137,370.00 6/1 7.50 24 City of Van. 5½% Mar. 1/76 11,000.00 92.48 10,172.80 4/5 7.50 25 City of Van. 5½% Mar. 1/76 11,000.00 92.48 10,172.80 4/5 7.50 26 City of Van. 5½% Mar. 1/76 11,000.00 93.75 937.50 3/5 7.60 27 City of Van. 5½% Mar. 1/75 1,000.00 93.75 937.50 3/5 7.60 28 City of Van. 5½% Mar. 1/75 1,000.00 93.75 937.50 3/5 7.60 29 City of Van. 5½% Mar. 1/75 1,000.00 92.50 13,500.00 4/7 7.45				75 / 76	4,000.00	90.18	3,607.20		7.50
17 Gtr. Van. Water Dist. July 2 7½% 72/78 136,000.00 100.32 136,435.20 6/9 7.40 17 City of Van. 5½% Nov. 15/76 10,000.00 91.56 9,156.00 5/2 7.50 17 City of Van. 3½% Apr. 15/75 20,000.00 87.63 17,526.00 3/7 7.50 22 City of Van. 5 3/4% Oct. 15/77 20,000.00 91.50 18,300.00 6/1 7.53 22 City of Van. 3 3/4% Apr. 1/72 2,000.00 98.35 1,967.00 0/6 6.90 22 City of Van. 3 3/4% Apr. 1/73 4,000.00 95.05 3,802.00 1/6 7.20 22 City of Van. 3 3/4% Aug. 1 75/76 40,000.00 86.25 34,500.00 4/10 7.50 22 City of Van. 5½% Nov. 15/77 6,000.00 90.03 5,401.80 6/2 7.55 23 City of Van. 5 3/4% Oct. 15/77 150,000.00 91.58 137,370.00 6/1 7.50 23 City of Van. 5½% Mar. 1/76 11,000.00 92.48 10,172.80 4/5 7.50 23 City of Van. 5½% Mar. 1/76 11,000.00 92.48 10,172.80 4/5 7.50 24 City of Van. 5½% Mar. 1/75 1,000.00 93.75 937.50 3/5 7.60 25 City of Van. 5½% Mar. 1/75 1,000.00 93.75 937.50 3/5 7.60 26 City of Van. 5½% Mar. 1/75 1,000.00 92.50 18,500.00 4/7 7.45		16	City of Van. 4½%	Jan. 15					
7½% 72/78 136,000.00 100.32 136,435.20 6/9 7.40 17 City of Van. 5½% Nov. 15/76 10,000.00 91.56 9,156.00 5/2 7.50 17 City of Van. 3½% Apr. 15/75 20,000.00 87.63 17,526.00 3/7 7.50 22 City of Van. 5 3/4% Oct. 15/77 20,000.00 91.50 18,300.00 6/1 7.53 22 City of Van. 3 3/4% Apr. 1/72 2,000.00 98.35 1,967.00 0/6 6.90 22 City of Van. 3 3/4% Apr. 1/73 4,000.00 95.05 3,802.00 1/6 7.20 22 City of Van. 3 3/4% Aug. 1 3/10 to 75/76 40,000.00 86.25 34,500.00 4/10 7.50 22 City of Van. 5½% Nov. 15/77 6,000.00 90.03 5,401.80 6/2 7.55 23 City of Van. 5½% Nov. 15/77 150,000.00 91.58 137,370.00 6/1 7.50 23 City of Van. 5½% Mar. 1/76 11,000.00 92.48 10,172.80 4/5 7.50 23 City of Van. 5½% Mar. 1/76 11,000.00 92.48 10,172.80 4/5 7.50 24 City of Van. 5½% Mar. 1/75 1,000.00 93.75 937.50 3/5 7.60 24 City of Van. 5½% Mar. 1/75 1,000.00 93.75 937.50 3/5 7.60 25 City of Van. 5½% Mar. 1/75 1,000.00 92.50 18,500.00 4/7 7.45				77 / 79	6,000.00	84.687	5,081.20		
17 City of Van. 5½% Apr. 15/76 10,000.00 91.56 9,156.00 5/2 7.50 17 City of Van. 3½% Apr. 15/75 20,000.00 87.63 17,526.00 3/7 7.50 22 City of Van. 5 3/4% Oct. 15/77 20,000.00 91.50 18,300.00 6/1 7.53 22 City of Van. 3 3/4% Apr. 1/72 2,000.00 98.35 1,967.00 0/6 6.90 22 City of Van. 3 3/4% Apr. 1/73 4,000.00 95.05 3,802.00 1/6 7.20 22 City of Van. 3 3/4% Aug. 1 3/10 to 75/76 40,000.00 86.25 34,500.00 4/10 7.50 22 City of Van. 5½% Nov. 15/77 6,000.00 90.03 5,401.80 6/2 7.55 23 City of Van. 5 3/4% Oct. 15/77 150,000.00 91.58 137,370.00 6/1 7.50 23 City of Van. 5½% Mar. 1/76 11,000.00 92.48 10,172.80 4/5 7.50 23 City of Van. 5½% Mar. 1/76 11,000.00 92.48 10,172.80 4/5 7.50 23 City of Van. 5½% Mar. 1/76 11,000.00 92.48 10,172.80 4/5 7.50 24 City of Van. 5½% Mar. 1/75 1,000.00 93.75 937.50 3/5 7.60 24 City of Van. 5½% Mar. 1/75 1,000.00 93.75 937.50 3/5 7.60 24 City of Van. 5½% Apr. 15/76 20,000.00 92.50 18,500.00 4/7 7.45		17	Gtr. Van. Water Dist.	July 2					_
17 City of Van. 3½% Apr. 15/75 20,000.00 87.63 17,526.00 3/7 7.50 22 City of Van. 5 3/4% Oct. 15/77 20,000.00 91.50 18,300.00 6/1 7.53 22 City of Van. 3 3/4% Apr. 1/72 2,000.00 98.35 1,967.00 0/6 6.90 22 City of Van. 3 3/4% Apr. 1/73 4,000.00 95.05 3,802.00 1/6 7.20 22 City of Van. 3 3/4% Aug. 1 3/10 to 75/76 40,000.00 86.25 34,500.00 4/10 7.50 22 City of Van. 5½% Nov. 15/77 6,000.00 90.03 5,401.80 6/2 7.55 23 City of Van. 5 3/4% Oct. 15/77 150,000.00 91.58 137,370.00 6/1 7.50 23 City of Van. Water Dist. July 2 7½% 72/78 44,000.00 100.32 44,140.80 6/9 7.40 23 City of Van. 5½% Mar. 1/76 11,000.00 92.48 10,172.80 4/5 7.50 23 City of Van. 5½% May 1/78 100,000.00 86.66 86,660.00 6/7 7.60 24 City of Van. 5½% Mar. 1/75 1,000.00 93.75 937.50 3/5 7.60 24 City of Van. 5½% Mar. 1/75 1,000.00 92.50 18,500.00 4/7 7.45			7½ %	72 / 78	136,000.00		•		
22 City of Van. 5 3/4% Oct. 15/77 20,000.00 91.50 18,300.00 6/1 7.53 22 City of Van. 3 3/4% Apr. 1/72 2,000.00 98.35 1,967.00 0/6 6.90 22 City of Van. 3 3/4% Apr. 1/73 4,000.00 95.05 3,802.00 1/6 7.20 22 City of Van. 3 3/4% Aug. 1 75/76 40,000.00 86.25 34,500.00 4/10 7.50 22 City of Van. 5½% Nov. 15/77 6,000.00 90.03 5,401.80 6/2 7.55 23 City of Van. 5 3/4% Oct. 15/77 150,000.00 91.58 137,370.00 6/1 7.50 23 City of Van. Water Dist. July 2 7½% 72/78 44,000.00 100.32 44,140.80 6/9 7.40 23 City of Van. 5½% Mar. 1/76 11,000.00 92.48 10,172.80 4/5 7.50 24 City of Van. 5% May 1/78 100,000.00 93.75 937.50 3/5 7.60 24 City of Van. 5½% Mar. 1/75 1,000.00 93.75 937.50 3/5 7.60 25 City of Van. Water Dist. 5½% Apr. 15/76 20,000.00 92.50 18,500.00 4/7 7.45		17	City of Van. 5½%	Nov. 15/76	10,000.00		-		
22 City of Van. 3 3/4% Apr. 1/72 2,000.00 98.35 1,967.00 0/6 6.90 22 City of Van. 3 3/4% Apr. 1/73 4,000.00 95.05 3,802.00 1/6 7.20 22 City of Van. 3 3/4% Aug. 1 75/76 40,000.00 86.25 34,500.00 4/10 7.50 22 City of Van. 5½% Nov. 15/77 6,000.00 90.03 5,401.80 6/2 7.55 23 City of Van. 5 3/4% Oct. 15/77 150,000.00 91.58 137,370.00 6/1 7.50 23 City of Van. Water Dist. July 2 7½% 72/78 44,000.00 100.32 44,140.80 6/9 7.40 23 City of Van. 5½% Mar. 1/76 11,000.00 92.48 10,172.80 4/5 7.50 23 City of Van. 5% May 1/78 100,000.00 86.66 86,660.00 6/7 7.60 24 City of Van. 5½% Mar. 1/75 1,000.00 93.75 937.50 3/5 7.60 24 City of Van. 8½% Apr. 15/76 20,000.00 92.50 18,500.00 4/7 7.45		17	City of Van. $3\frac{1}{2}\%$	-	•				
22 City of Van. 3 3/4% Apr. 1/73 4,000.00 95.05 3,802.00 1/6 7.20 22 City of Van. 3 3/4% Aug. 1 3/10 to 75/76 40,000.00 86.25 34,500.00 4/10 7.50 22 City of Van. 5½% Nov. 15/77 6,000.00 90.03 5,401.80 6/2 7.55 23 City of Van. 5 3/4% Oct. 15/77 150,000.00 91.58 137,370.00 6/1 7.50 23 City of Van. Water Dist. July 2 0/9 to 7½% 72/78 44,000.00 100.32 44,140.80 6/9 7.40 23 City of Van. 5½% Mar. 1/76 11,000.00 92.48 10,172.80 4/5 7.50 23 City of Van. 5% May 1/78 100,000.00 86.66 86,660.00 6/7 7.60 24 City of Van. 5½% Mar. 1/75 1,000.00 93.75 937.50 3/5 7.60 24 Gtr. Van. Water Dist. 5½% Apr. 15/76 20,000.00 92.50 18,500.00 4/7 7.45		22	City of Van. 5 3/4%				•	-	
22 City of Van. 3 3/4% Aug. 1 75/76 40,000.00 86.25 34,500.00 4/10 7.50 22 City of Van. 5½% Nov. 15/77 6,000.00 90.03 5,401.80 6/2 7.55 23 City of Van. 5 3/4% Oct. 15/77 150,000.00 91.58 137,370.00 6/1 7.50 23 City of Van. Water Dist. July 2 7½% 72/78 44,000.00 100.32 44,140.80 6/9 7.40 23 City of Van. 5½% Mar. 1/76 11,000.00 92.48 10,172.80 4/5 7.50 23 City of Van. 5% May 1/78 100,000.00 86.66 86,660.00 6/7 7.60 24 City of Van. 5½% Mar. 1/75 1,000.00 93.75 937.50 3/5 7.60 24 Gtr. Van. Water Dist. 5½% Apr. 15/76 20,000.00 92.50 18,500.00 4/7 7.45		22	City of Van. 3 3/4%	Apr. 1/72			-		
75/76 40,000.00 86.25 34,500.00 4/10 7.50 22 City of Van. 5½% Nov. 15/77 6,000.00 90.03 5,401.80 6/2 7.55 23 City of Van. 5 3/4% Oct. 15/77 150,000.00 91.58 137,370.00 6/1 7.50 23 City of Van. Water Dist. July 2 0/9 to 7½% 72/78 44,000.00 100.32 44,140.80 6/9 7.40 23 City of Van. 5½% Mar. 1/76 11,000.00 92.48 10,172.80 4/5 7.50 23 City of Van. 5% May 1/78 100,000.00 86.66 86,660.00 6/7 7.60 24 City of Van. 5½% Mar. 1/75 1,000.00 93.75 937.50 3/5 7.60 24 City of Van. Water Dist. 5½% Apr. 15/76 20,000.00 92.50 13,500.00 4/7 7.45		22	City of Van. 3 3/4%	Apr. 1/73	4,000.00	95.05	3,802.00		
22 City of Van. 5½% Nov. 15/77 6,000.00 90.03 5,401.80 6/2 7.55 23 City of Van. 5 3/4% Oct. 15/77 150,000.00 91.58 137,370.00 6/1 7.50 23 City Van. Water Dist. July 2 0/9 to 7½% 72/78 44,000.00 100.32 44,140.80 6/9 7.40 23 City of Van. 5½% Mar. 1/76 11,000.00 92.48 10,172.80 4/5 7.50 23 City of Van. 5% May 1/78 100,000.00 86.66 86,660.00 6/7 7.60 24 City of Van. 5½% Mar. 1/75 1,000.00 93.75 937.50 3/5 7.60 24 Gtr. Van. Water Dist. 5½% Apr. 15/76 20,000.00 92.50 13,500.00 4/7 7.45		22	City of Van. 3 3/4%	Aug. 1				_	
23 City of Van. 5 3/4% Oct. 15/77 150,000.00 91.58 137,370.00 6/1 7.50 0/9 to 7½% 72/78 44,000.00 100.32 44,140.80 6/9 7.40 23 City of Van. 5½% Mar. 1/76 11,000.00 92.48 10,172.80 4/5 7.50 23 City of Van. 5% May 1/78 100,000.00 86.66 86,660.00 6/7 7.60 24 City of Van. 5½% Mar. 1/75 1,000.00 93.75 937.50 3/5 7.60 24 Gtr. Van. Water Dist. 5½% Apr. 15/76 20,000.00 92.50 13,500.00 4/7 7.45	1			· - · ·				-	
23 Gtr. Van. Water Dist. July 2 7½% 72/78 44,000.00 100.32 44,140.80 6/9 7.40 23 City of Van. 5½% Mar. 1/76 11,000.00 92.48 10,172.80 4/5 7.50 23 City of Van. 5% May 1/78 100,000.00 86.66 86,660.00 6/7 7.60 24 City of Van. 5½% Mar. 1/75 1,000.00 93.75 937.50 3/5 7.60 24 Gtr. Van. Water Dist. 5½% Apr. 15/76 20,000.00 92.50 13,500.00 4/7 7.45		22		Nov. 15/77					
7½% 72/78 44,000.00 100.32 44,140.80 6/9 7.40 23 City of Van. 5½% Mar. 1/76 11,000.00 92.48 10,172.80 4/5 7.50 23 City of Van. 5% May 1/78 100,000.00 86.66 86,660.00 6/7 7.60 24 City of Van. 5½% Mar. 1/75 1,000.00 93.75 937.50 3/5 7.60 24 Gtr. Van. Water Dist. 5½% Apr. 15/76 20,000.00 92.50 13,500.00 4/7 7.45		23	City of Van. 5 3/4%	Oct. 15/77	150,000.00	91.58	137,370.00		
23 City of Van. 5½% Mar. 1/76 11,000.00 92.48 10,172.80 4/5 7.50 23 City of Van. 5% May 1/78 100,000.00 86.66 86,660.00 6/7 7.60 24 City of Van. 5½% Mar. 1/75 1,000.00 93.75 937.50 3/5 7.60 24 Gtr. Van. Water Dist. 5½% Apr. 15/76 20,000.00 92.50 13,500.00 4/7 7.45		23	= = = :	•					
23 City of Van. 5% May 1/78 100,000.00 86.66 86,660.00 6/7 7.60 24 City of Van. 5½% Mar. 1/75 1,000.00 93.75 937.50 3/5 7.60 24 Gtr. Van. Water Dist. 5½% Apr. 15/76 20,000.00 92.50 13,500.00 4/7 7.45			フを 2		•		•		
24 City of Van. 5½% Mar. 1/75 1,000.00 93.75 937.50 3/5 7.60 24 Gtr. Van. Water Dist. 5½% Apr. 15/76 20,000.00 92.50 18,500.00 4/7 7.45		23	City of Van. 5½%		•			_	
24 Gtr. Van. Water Dist. 5½% Apr. 15/76 20,000.00 92.50 18,500.00 4/7 7.45		_		•			-		
5½% Apr. 15/76 20,000.00 92.50 18,500.00 4/7 7.45		24	-	Mar. 1/75	1,000.00	93.75	937.50	3/5	7.60
		24	Gtr. Van. Water Dist.	•					
\$1.742.000.00 \$1.691.576.35			5½ %	Apr. 15/76 _	20,000.00	92.50	18,500.00	4/7	7.45
				<u>\$</u>	1,742,000.00	\$1	,691,576.35	<u>.</u>	

******* SINKING FUND TRANSACTIONS

Sale of Canadian National Railway and Canada Bonds and Purchase of Temporary Investment In Bank Deposit Receipts, Pending November 15th Purchase of Current Issue of Canada Savings Bonds.

Date	Type of Security Disposals	Maturity Date	Maturity · Value	Price	Proceeds	Term Yrs/Mos	Yield 7
•	C. N. R. 3 3/4% Canadas 5%	Feb. 1/74 Oct. 1/73	\$2,300,000.00 <u>364,000.00</u> \$2,664,000.00	\$96.30 99.70	\$2,214,900 362,908 \$2,577,808		5.45 5.16
Sept. 30	Acquisitions Royal Bank of Canada Deposit Receipts		\$2,617,432.11		<u>Cost</u> \$2,600,000	<u>Days</u> 46	5.32

Board of Administration, November 12, 1971 (FINANCE - 3)

RECOMMENDATIONS:

Clause No. 1 (cont'd.)

KERRISDALE COMMUNITY CENTRE FUND

Sale of B.C. Hydro & Power Authority Bonds to Provide Funds For Maintenance Work At Community Centre.

Date	Type of Security Disposal	Maturity Date	Maturity 	Price	Cost	Term Yrs/Mos	Yield Z
Sept. 9	B.C. Hydro & Power Auth. 7% Parity Bonds	Sept. 1/75	\$6,000.00	\$102.25	\$6,135.00	4/0	6.35

GENERAL AND CAPITAL

Summary of Securities Held As At September 30, 1971

Type of Security	Par or Maturity Value	Cost or Book Value
Short Term Deposit Receipts Due 1971 Deposit Receipts Due 1972	\$ 36,480,733.71 5,400,530.27 \$ 41,881,263.98	\$ 36,000,000.00 5,300,000.00 \$ 41,300,000.00
Medium Term B.C. Hydro & Power Authority 7.7 Parity Bonds due Sept. 1/75	\$ 200,000.00	\$ 200,305.59

RECOMMENDATION

Recommended by your Board that the report of the Director of Finance in Sinking Fund Matters for September, 1971 be confirmed.

CONSIDERATION

Board of Police Commissioners - Photographic Equipment -2. Crowd Control

Your Board submits the following communication from the Board of Police Commissioners:

" The Chief Constable advised that in order to provide adequate photographic evidence of disturbances such as the Gastown incident of August 7th it was necessary to provide the Police Department with suitable equipment. He pointed out that Mr. Justice Dohm had recommended in his report on the disturbance that the Police should have available suitable sound and photographic equipment to properly record such activities. The Chief stated the following equipment was needed immediately to enable the Police photographers to satisfactorily record police and crowd actions at events which require police attendance for crowd control purposes.

3 Pentax Spotmatic Cameras	\$	537.00
4 : Recability 502 flash units		656.00
1 Portable flood light		150.00
1 500 IM Pentax Takerman lens		380.00
2 28 121 wide angle Takuma lons		226.00
1 Telextender (2x)		15.00
1 Pentax 90		170.00
1 Pentax Spotmeter MK III		155.00
Total	\$2	,239.00

Board of Administration, November 12, 1971 (FINANCE - 4)

CONSIDERATION

Clause No. 2 (cont'd.)

The Chief said there were no funds available in the Police budget to purchase these items and asked that City Council be requested to provide the necessary funds. He added that other major sound recording and photographic items would be provided for in the 1972 Police Estimates and rented as required in the meantime.

"Moved:

'THAT City Council be requested to consider providing the necessary funds in the amount of \$2,289.00 for the immediate purchase of photographic equipment required to adequately record police and crowd activities in major crowd control situations.'

Carried. "

The Director of Finance advises that if Council approves the above request, the funds would be provided by a transfer from Revenue Surplus of Frior Years.

Your Board submits the matter to Council for CONSIDERATION.

RECOMMENDATION

3. Queen Elizabeth Theatre - Restaurant & Liquor Lease

The lease of the Queen Elizabeth Theatre Restaurant and the license to sell liquor in the Queen Elizabeth Theatre expire on January 31, 1972. The Lessee, Mr. Max Ammann, is now deceased, and Adrina Holdings Ltd. (the shareholders of this Company are the widow of Max Ammann and his two sons) presently operates the Restaurant and conducts the sale of liquor in the Queen Elizabeth Theatre. The Company, through its solicitors, has requested a new lease and license in respect to the facilities operated by the Company in the Queen Elizabeth Theatre.

In 1969 Council established a Special Committee for the purpose of considering proposals for the renewal of the lease and license at that time. The Committee was comprised of two Members of Council and two Commissioners representing the Auditorium Board.

Accordingly, your Board

RECOMMEND that a Committee of Council be again established for the purpose of negotiating a new lease and license with Adrina Holdings Ltd.

BOARD OF ADMINISTRATION

PERSONNEL MATTERS

SUPPLEMENTARY REPORT

NOVEMBER 12, 1971

RECOMMENDATIONS:

等为

Ď

Leave of Absence Without Pay for Mr. Gordon R. Anderson, Vancouver Fire Fighter's Union, Local #18

The Director of Personnel Services reports as follows:

I have received a request from Mr. L. E. Kraft, Secretary-Treasurer of the above mentioned Union that Mr. G. R. Anderson be granted leave of absence without pay for the term of one year, effective December 1, 1971 for the purpose of conducting Union business on a full time basis."

This request coincides with the City's policy of granting leave of absence without pay to Union members on a year to year basis, therefore the Director of Personnel Services recommends that your Board obtains the approval of City Council for this request.

The Fire Chief concurs in this recommendation.

Your Board RECOMMENDS that the above recommendation of the Director of Personnel Services be adopted.

2. Auto Allowances: Supervisor and Director of the Health Care and Aging Unit of Social Service Department

The following report has been received from the Director of Finance:

"A request has been received from the Director of Welfare Services for auto allowances for the positions of Director, presently occupied by Miss Shiela McDiarmid, and Supervisor, presently occupied by Miss E. Ward, of the Health Care & Aging Unit.

Miss McDiarmid will be using her auto 2-3 days per week and driving 50 - 75 miles per month. Miss Ward will be using her auto 3 - 4 days per week and driving 100 - 150 miles per month. By using their own autos for transportation, they will be saving time in carrying out their duties.

It is recommended that

 a) an auto allowance of ll¢ per mile be granted for the position of Director, Health Care & Aging Unit, presently occupied by Miss Shiela McDiarmid, effective September 1, 1971,

and

b) an auto allowance on the monthly basis be granted for the position of Supervisor, presently occupied by Miss E. Ward, effective September 3, 1971."

Your Board RECOMMENDS that the above recommendations of the Director of Finance be adopted.

BOARD OF ADMINISTRATION

PROPERTY MATTERS

NOVEMBER 12, 1971

RECOMMENDATION

1. Acquisition for Replotting 3192 S.E. Marine Drive

The Supervisor of Property & Insurance reports as follows:

"Reference is made to Item 1, Property Matters, March 26, 1971 confirmed by Council March 30, 1971 approving the expropriation of S.E. Sector Lot C in North Part of Block 8, D.L. 330, 3192 S.E. Marine Drive, which is required both for highway and replotting purposes in connection with the expanded programme of redevelopment in the S.E. Sector.

These premises comprise a large parcel, 131' x 515', having an area of approximately 1.55 acres, improved with a one-storey frame dwelling erected in 1926. The Northerly 375' of the site is zoned R.T.-2 Two Family Dwelling District and the Southerly 140' is zoned M-1 Industrial District. Said dwelling with a main floor area of 775 sq.ft. contains 4 rooms on the main floor and 2 rooms in the basement, has 6 plumbing fixtures, a patent shingle roof, asbestos shakes on the exterior walls, a concrete foundation and heated by 2 oil-burning heaters. This building is in average condition for age and type.

According to recent advice from the City Solicitor, the owners, through their solicitor have refused to accept an offer of \$43,000.00 which sum is substantiated by 3 independent valuations, plus an unstated amount for disruption costs such as moving etc. In this connection the City Solicitor has recommended that an additional amount of \$2,000.00 for incidental expenses as representing value to the owner be allowed in addition to the market value and that the City's offer be set at \$45,000.00.

RECOMMENDED

- (a) that the offer of \$45,000.00 be confirmed as representing due compensation for the property to be acquired.
- (b) FURTHER that Professor E.C.E. Todd be appointed as the City's nominee to the Board of Arbitration to be constituted to determine the compensation payable to the owners. "

Your Board

RECOMMENDS the foregoing recommendation of the Supervisor of Property & Insurance be adopted.

REPORT TO COUNCIL

STANDING COMMITTEE OF COUNCIL ON GENERAL PURPOSES

November 4, 1971

A meeting of the Standing Committee of Council on General Purposes was held on Thursday, November 4, 1971, in the #1 Committee Room, third floor, City Hall at approximately 10:45 a.m.

PRESENT:

Alderman Sweeney (Chairman)

Aldermen Adams, Bird, Calder, Linnell,

Phillips and Rankin

ABSENT:

His Worship the Mayor (Civic Business)

Alderman Broome Alderman Hardwick (Civic Business) (Illness)

Alderman Wilson

CLERK:

D. Scott

Adoption of Minutes

The Minutes of the meeting held October 14, 1971, were adopted.

The following matter is submitted for the information of Council.

INFORMATION

Use of Capilano Stadium

The Committee had before it for consideration, a report of the Board of Administration dated October 18, 1971, dealing with the future use of Capilano Stadium.

The following delegations were heard:

Board of Parks & Public Recreation - Commissioner E.A. Sandy Robertson requested the Stadium be placed under the care, custody and management of the Park Board and set the cost of operation as being:

> \$61,000 the first year \$46,000 the second year \$46,000 the third year and \$33,000 each year thereafter.

- <u>Vancouver Art Gallery</u> Mr. Anthony Emery, Director Brief filed dated November 4, 1971. (b)
- Pacific Coast Soccer League Mr. K. Howarth Brief filed (c) dated November 3, 1971.
- (d) B.C. Premier Soccer League - Mr. R. Paton & Mr. Christopher -Brief filed.
- <u>Vancouver Olympic Club</u> Mr. D. Fynn Brief filed dated (e) November, 1971.
- Riley Park Area Council Mrs. H. Giffin supported the use (f) of the Stadium by the Vancouver Art Gallery.
- Vancouver Junior Chamber of Commerce Mr. W.J. Woods Brief (g) filed dated November 4, 1971.

Clause 1 continued

(h) <u>Satellites Motorsport Club</u> - Mr. D. Harder again requested space for his Club's operation.

A communication dated November 3, 1971, was noted from Mr. T.W. Johnstone, Manager Planning and Development, Acres Western Limited. Mr. Johnstone suggested that consideration be given to hiring Acres Western Limited to act as consultants for the Committee and prepare a report based on the future use of Capilano Stadium. It was estimated that the cost would be between \$7,000 and \$8,000 and it would take a period of two to three months to complete the study. It was

RESOLVED that the communication from Acres Western Limited dated November 3, 1971, be received.

FURTHER RESOLVED that the presentations and the briefs presented this day be received and the matter be further considered at a subsequent meeting to be called by the Chair.

The meeting adjourned at approximately 12:00 noon.

* * * *

MINUTES

November 1, 1971

OFFICIAL TRAFFIC COMMISSION

A meeting of the Official Traffic Commission was held in the No. 1 Committee Room, third floor, City Hall on Monday, November 1, 1971, at approximately 10:30 a.m.

> PRESENT: Alderman Linnell (Chairman

Commissioner Ryan

Mr. J. Mulberry, Law Department

Supt. Oliver, City Police Department Mr. R. Boyes, Traffic & Transportation Dept. Mr. J.F. Rowan, City Prosecutor's Office

ALSO PRESENT: Mr. E.J. Irwin, Vancouver School Board

Mr. J. Attridge, Vancouver Traffic & Safety

Council

CLERK: D. Scott

Adoption of Minutes

The Minutes of the meeting of September 22, 1971, were adopted.

1. Student Parking: Langara Campus

At a meeting of the Official Traffic Commission on May 26, 1971, it was recommended that Mr. Boyes' progress report be received and that the area be again checked in September.

In a report dated October 21, 1971, the City Engineer advised that a series of checks were taken in September after the College opened and these checks showed that the off-street parking facilities are now utilized to 120% of design capacity. This figure represents:

> 830 vehicles parked in stalls 150 vehicles parked in aisles

In addition, approximately 150 vehicles were parked on-street, 120 of which were parked on Ontario Street and 49th Avenue on the College side of these two streets, and 30 vehicles were parked on neighbouring residential streets.

The Engineering Department received two complaints from residents of this area, Mrs. Anderson on Ontario Street at 49th Avenue and Mrs. Hackett on 50th Avenue at Ontario Street. As a result of their complaints, the City Engineer proposes to extend the Resident Parking Zones to accommodate these people.

The City Engineer further advised that as a condition of the Development Permit for this College, the School Board was required to provide 830 off-street parking spaces for students and staff with provision for an additional 60 spaces upon request of the Technical Planning Board should traffic and on-street parking conditions make such expansion necessary. A further condition provides for a survey of off-street parking requirements by the School Board and/or the Langara College Council in conjunction with the Engineering and Planning Departments two years from the time the College commenced operation. would be scheduled for October, 1972. However, in view of the vehicular increase it is recommended that the Technical Planning Board be asked to consider requesting additional parking facilities (over and above the 60) at this time.

cont'd . .

2.

Official Traffic Commission

November 1, 1971

Clause 1 continued

Mr. Boyes reviewed the situation for the information of the Commission and it was

RECOMMENDED

- (a) That the Vancouver City Council recommend to the Technical Planning Board that they implement immediately the additional 60 spaces which was a condition of the Development Permit when issued.
- (b) That the Technical Planning Board be asked to have the School Board and/or the Langara College Council carry out the necessary study and submit proposals for additional parking (over and above the 60) at this time rather than wait until October, 1972.
- (c) That the City Engineer's report be received and forwarded to the Technical Planning Board for information.
- 2. Request for Stop Signs: Pine Crescent at Matthews Avenue and at Hosmer Avenue

Communications have been received from Mr. Peter A. Cherniavsky dated June 4th, August 13th, and September 17, 1971, with respect to request for stop signs at the intersections of Hosmer with Pine Crescent and Matthews with Pine Crescent. The City Engineer advised in a report dated October 19, 1971, that upon receipt of the first communication theses intersections were checked and at Hosmer and Pine Crescent the records indicated that there has been one accident since 1968 and none for several years prior to that. The intersection of Matthews and Pine Crescent showed a history of complaint leading to the installation of 'slow' signs on all four approaches in December 1959. Since that time the accident picture has fluctuated and in the first quarter of 1971 there were five accidents. The City Engineer stated that the east approach 'slow' sign was badly mutilated and the south sign was faded, therefore new signs were installed and a review of the location was scheduled for January, 1972, to determine the effect of the new signs.

Mr. Cherniavsky was advised of the foregoing, however, on August 13th, he requested a review of the decision. Again he believed stop signs were warranted. On September 17th, Mr. Cherniavsky requested stop signs be posted for east and westbound traffic at Hosmer and Matthews and requested slow or stop signs for northbound and southbound traffic at both intersections. Mr. Cherniavsky requested that checks be made with respect to speeding vehicles.

Radar checks were taken, however, no speed problem was indicated.

The City Engineer recommended that stop signs not be installed at the intersections of Hosmer and Pine Crescent and Matthews and Pine Crescent at this time but that the intersection of Matthews and Pine Crescent be reviewed departmentally in January, 1972 for such action as may be appropriate at that time. After due consideration it was

RECOMMENDED that the recommendations of the City Engineer contained in his report dated October 19, 1971, be adopted and that a letter be forwarded by the Commission to Mr. Cherniavsky.

Official Traffic Commission

November 1, 1971

3. Overhead Crane Operated by Western Outboard and Equipment Limited at 1820 Fir Street

On July 21, 1971, the Official Traffic Commission considered a complaint by the East India Carpet Ltd concerning noise, illegal parking and traffic congestion created by the Western Outboard and Equipment Ltd. Superintendent Oliver advised that enforcement action has been taken and as a result the situation has improved.

On July 21, 1971, the City Engineer was requested to report further on the overhead crane which overhangs the east boulevard of Fir Street.

In a report dated October 21, 1971, the City Engineer advised that a search of City Hall records shows the following:

- (1) The building occupied by West Outboard and Equipment Ltd. was constructed in 1927.
- (2) No permits have been issued, either for the construction or the operation of the crane although one member of the City Hall staff recalls seeing it on the building in 1945.
- (3) The crane has been used by Western Outboard and Equipment Ltd. since that company moved into the building in 1965."

He further advised that Western Outboard and Equipment Ltd. in a communication dated September 15, 1971, informed the Engineering Department that another property was purchased recently and it is intended to move the boat storage facilities on to it and the present property will then be used for a show room and a parts sales. In this way the upper floor will see much less traffic and the crane will be used much less frequently. It is anticipated that the move to the new property will take place no later than the Spring of 1972. Western Outboard also advised that a consulting architect has been engaged to prepare plans for renovating the building at 1820 Fir Street after the facilities at the new property are in operation and the construction of an elevator inside the building is to considered by the architect.

After considering the recommendation of the City Engineer it was,

RECOMMENDED that Western Outboard and Equipment Ltd. be permitted to carry on the use of the crane until April 30, 1972, providing the firm enter into an encroachment agreement relieving the City of all liability in respect to the overhead crane for this period, but the crane be removed by May 7, 1972, be adopted.

4. Parking Adjacent to Schools

When dealing with a report of the Official Traffic Commission dated September 22, 1971, respecting parking adjacent to schools, the Vancouver City Council on October 5, 1971, passed the following motion:

"THAT the recommendations in this clause be adopted with the exception of the recommendation of the City Engineer, i.e. 'that the School Board assume expenses of signing the zones as requested', which item be referred back to the Official Traffic Commission in an endeavour to work out a satisfactory financing arrangement with the School Board."

cont'd .

i

343

Official Traffic Commission

November 1, 1971

Clause 4 continued

Mr. Irwin submitted a copy of a letter addressed to the City Clerk dated September 28, 1971, wherein Mr. Bowers, Chairman of the Vancouver School Board, advised the School Board is not in favour of paying for the posting of the signs particularly around secondary schools as they believe this is the responsibility of the City. However, he stated that the School Board would, if necessary, pay 50% of the cost of the signs at elementary schools.

In discussing this matter, Mr. Boyes advised that the approximate cost of signing the secondary schools was \$1,850.00 and \$2,150.00 for the elementary schools, and that the estimated annual maintenance cost would be \$400.00.

After considerable discussion it was

RECOMMENDED that the School Board and the City Engineering Department be requested to provide 50% of the capital cost of \$4,000 in their 1972 Budget for the posting of signs at the elementary and secondary schools, on the understanding the Engineering Department will pay the maintenance costs estimated to be \$400 a year.

5. Parking Exemption Decals

The City Engineer submitted a report dated October 13, 1971, wherein the Special Committee re Parking Exemption Decals recommend that the following applications for handicapped persons for parking exemption permits be approved:

Sandra D. Blades 490 Pendlebury Road Richmond, B. C. Milo Stajer M-705-1600 Beach Avenue Vancouver 5, B. C.

James P. Grigg #106 - 1383 East Broadway Vancouver 10, B. C.

RECOMMENDED that the recommendations contained in the City Engineer's report dated October 13, 1971, re parking exemption decals be adopted.

6. Request for Traffic Control Signal Victoria and Venables Streets

In a communication to Alderman Rankin under date of September 27, 1971, Pastor Fr. L. Gellan requested that traffic control signals be installed at the intersection of Victoria Drive and Venables to improve traffic conditions and to provide greater crossing protection for pedestrians.

In a report dated October 20, 1971, the City Engineer advised that Victoria Drive and Venables Street is a through street carrying moderate rush hour and light base period volumes. The north crosswalk is marked and signed as a 'stop when occupied' school crosswalk and is easily visible from both the north and the south.

Venables Street, while classed as an arterial west of Commercial Drive, continues as a through street east to Victoria Drive. It serves as a route to and from the Georgia Viaduct and as such carries fairly high rush hour volumes. However, traffic volumes between Commercial and Victoria are only one-third to one-half as high as the volumes west of Commercial Drive, and, although a 36-foot pavement width is maintained to Victoria Drive, the street becomes residential in nature, with stop signs at Victoria Drive. To the east of Victoria Drive, Venables Street is residential with a 27-foot pavement width. Volumes on Venables Street to the east of Victoria Drive are light.

344

November 1, 1971

Official Traffic Commission

Clause 6 continued

The City Engineer further advised that while there is some congestion, delays were not considered significant and cross traffic experienced no unusual difficulties. Regular gaps occur in the north-south vehicular flow on Victoria Drive providing ample crossing opportunities for pedestrians. The Police School Patrol report that reviews at this location indicate that no further pedestrian controls are required at this time.

The accident history is not adversely affected and vehicle speeds were not excessive during the observation periods. Since Venables Street east of Victoria Drive is residential in nature, it is felt that a signal installation at this intersection would attract undesirable non-local traffic through this residential area.

The City Engineer recommended that no further traffic controls be installed at the intersection of Victoria Drive and Venables Street at this time.

The Clerk advised that Pastor Gellan had been contacted by telephone inviting him to appear as a delegation, however it was noted that no delegation was present at the meeting.

RECOMMENDED that the recommendation of the City Engineer contained in his report dated October 20, 1971, be adopted.

7. Bobolink Park: Noise, Property Damage and Traffic Complaints

At the last meeting of the Official Traffic Commission it was

"RECOMMENDED that the Traffic Superintendent, City Engineer and the Director of Social Planning/Community Development in conjunction with the School and Park Boards, be requested to report at the next meeting of Official Traffic Commission on the complaints set out by the delegation this day."

The City Engineer under date of October 20, 1971, reports as follows:

"Subsequently, a meeting was held on October 14, 1971, to discuss the situation, attended by officials of the above departments and Mr. Smith, representing the residents of the Bobolink area.

The problem, which occurs on most summer evenings and particularly on Friday and Saturday nights, is that youngsters congregate at the community hall, and with no specific program of events at the hall, they tend to gather outside, adjacent the community hall parking lot and Hoylake Avenue. In front of this audience there is considerable speeding of cars, rapid accelerating, squealing of tires, etc., which results in undesirable noise, hazard to younger children at the adjoining playground, and damage to parked cars on the street. This past summer the exterior of the community hall was damaged on a number of occasions.

The Police Department had investigated this problem following the residents' earlier complaints and has for the past month instructed the local patrol car to give this area special attention on all shifts. This matter has also been brought to the attention of the Crime Prevention Squad, and Mr. Smith, on behalf of the residents, feels that in this period the situation has improved about 80%.

It was agreed at the above meeting that the following courses of action would be taken by the departments concerned:-

1. Social Planning and Community Development Department will be asking Council's approval to sponsor a full-time staff member to work with those youngsters persistently causing disruption in the Bobolink area.

345

Official Traffic Commission

November 1, 1971

Clause 7 continued

- 2. Police Department will continue to assign the local patrol car to give attention to this area on all shifts. The Crime Prevention Squad will be giving this area attention as well, and the Youth Preventive Squad has been advised of the problem and will help out directly on a complaint basis. It is felt that with this action by the Police Department, special attention by the Traffic Police would be redundant, and little success could be achieved if they were to enforce speeds with a radar unit, since their presence would be too obvious. However, a contact has been arranged, so that the Traffic Division can attend when necessary.
- 3. The Parks Board will undertake to provide a chain across the parking lot entrance, so that it can be closed each evening, thereby restricting undesirable use of the parking lot by rapidly accelerating vehicles late at night.
- 4. The School Board feel that since the nearest secondary schools are some distance away, there is no very specific way in which they can be helpful in this situation.

Mr. Smith indicated that he feels these arrangements are satisfactory from the residents' point of view."

The Clerk advised that a copy of the Engineer's report has not as yet been forwarded to Mr. Smith and that no delegation arrangements had been made for this meeting.

Mr. Boyes stated he believed that with the foregoing course of action, the problems would be resolved and it was therefore

RECOMMENDED that the report of the City Engineer dated October 20, 1971, be received.

The meeting adjourned at approximately 11:30 a.m.

FOR ADOPTION SEE PAGE(S) 304

* * * * *